

State of Montana

Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report

April 1, 2020 through March 31, 2021

FINAL Submitted June 30, 2021

Montana Department of Commerce

Montana Department of Public Health and Human Services

DOCConPlan@mt.gov

https://commerce.mt.gov/Consolidated-Plan

Plan Year 1 (2020)	April 1, 2020 – March 31, 2021
Plan Year 2 (2021)	April 1, 2021 – March 31, 2022
Plan Year 3 (2022)	April 1, 2022 – March 31, 2023
Plan Year 4 (2023)	April 1, 2023 – March 31, 2024
Plan Year 5 (2024)	April 1, 2024 – March 31, 2025

2020–2024 Montana Consolidated Plan for Housing and Community Development

The Montana Department of Commerce will provide alternative accessible formats of this document upon request. If you need this document in an alternative format such as large print, Braille, audiotape, or computer diskette, please contact the Department.

DOCConPlan@mt.gov

Phone: (406) 841-2770

Fax: (406) 841-2771

TDD: (800)-841-2702

Montana Relay Services number: 711

Table of Contents

Introduction	1
CR-05 – Goals and Outcomes	2
CR-10 – Racial and Ethnic Composition of Families Assisted	
CR-15 – Resources and Investments 91.520(a)	11
Leveraging	
CR-20 – Affordable Housing 91.520(b)	
CR-25 – Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)	22
CR-30 – Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)	25
CR-35 – Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)	
CR-40 – Monitoring 91.220; 91.230	
CR-45 – CDBG 91.520(c)	
CR-50 – HOME 91.520(d)	
CR-55 – HOPWA 91.520(e)	
CR-56 – HTF 91.520(h)	
CR-60 – ESG 91.520(g)	
CR-65 – Persons Assisted	
CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) – Assistance Provided and Outcomes	41
CR-75 – Expenditures	42

Appendix A: Public Engagement Record

Appendix B:	HOME/HTF Onsite	Monitoring Results
Appendix D.		monitoring results

List of Tables

Table CR-1A – Plan Year 1 Program Funding Allocations by Goal	b
Table CR-1B – Plan Year 1 Program Expenditures by Goal	
Table CR-2 – Applicants for CDBG, HOME, and HTF Funding in Plan Year 1	c
Table 1 – Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date	5
Table CR-3 – Projects Awarded During Plan Year 1	7
Table 2 – Table of Assistance to Racial and Ethnic Populations by Source of Funds	10
Table 3 – Resources Made Available	11
Table 4 – Identify the Geographic Distribution and Location of Investments	12
Table CR-4 – Project Geographic Distribution and Percentage of Allocation for CDBG, HOME,	
and HTF	13
and HTF	16
and HTF Table 5 – Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match Report	16 17
and HTF Table 5 – Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match Report Table 6 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year	16 17 18
and HTF Table 5 – Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match Report Table 6 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year Table 7 – Program Income	16 17 18 18
and HTF Table 5 – Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match Report Table 6 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year Table 7 – Program Income Table 8 – Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises Table 9 – Minority Owners of Rental Property Table 10 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition	16 17 18 18 19 19
and HTF Table 5 – Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match Report Table 6 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year Table 7 – Program Income Table 8 – Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises Table 9 – Minority Owners of Rental Property	16 17 18 18 19 19

Table 13A – Number of Households Served	21
Table 13B – Number of Persons Served	
Table 14 – HOPWA Number of Households Served	
Table 15 – CR-56 HTF Units in HTF Activities Completed During the Period	
Table 16 – Household Information for Homeless Prevention Activities	39
Table 17 – Household Information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities	39
Table 18 – Shelter Information	
Table 19 – Household Information for Street Outreach	39
Table 20 – Household Information for Persons Served with ESG	40
Table 21 – Gender Information	40
Table 22 – Age Information	40
Table 23 – Special Population Served	40
Table 24 – Shelter Capacity	41
Table 25 – ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention	42
Table 26 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing	
Table 27 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter	
Table 28 – Other Grant Expenditures	43
Table 29 – Total ESG Funds Expended	43
Table 30 – Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities	
Table 31 – Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities	43

Introduction

The Montana Departments of Commerce (Commerce) and Public Health and Human Services (DPHHS) receive annual U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) funding for the following Community Planning and Development (CPD) programs: Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), HOME Investment Partnerships (HOME), Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG), and National Housing Trust Fund (HTF) programs. Funding through these programs is crucial to organizations struggling to obtain scarce funding to serve households at or below 80% of area median income (AMI). As demonstrated in this 2020-2021 Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER), these programs have been a critical funding source for constructing senior and health facilities in rural areas; developing housing facilities to assist disadvantaged populations; supporting economic opportunities for low- and moderate-income beneficiaries; and providing clean water and sanitary sewer to support the growth of vital, resilient communities across Montana.

Montana's CAPER reports to HUD the outcomes of activities completed with the resources made available to the state through the CDBG, HOME, ESG, and HTF programs during Plan Year 1 (April 1, 2020 to March 31, 2021) of the 2020-2024 period, which falls under the 2020-2024 Montana Consolidated Plan for Housing and Community Development (Consolidated Plan) and 2020-2021 Annual Action Plan (AAP).

The Consolidated Plan and AAP fulfill three basic goals in Montana: provide decent housing, provide a suitable living environment, and expand economic opportunities. The 2020-2021 AAP outlines the actions Montana proposes to take during Plan Year 1 to support these basic goals and the strategic goals identified in the 2020-2024 Consolidated Plan. The CAPER identifies the accomplishments of the CDBG, HOME, ESG, and HTF programs and compares them to the goals and objectives put forth in the Consolidated Plan and AAP.

Much of the information relevant to the 2020-2024 Consolidated Plan is reported in HUD's Integrated Data Information System (IDIS), which interfaces with HUD's eCon Planning Suite. IDIS and the eCon Planning Suite establish the format and manner in which data is collected and reported in all Consolidated Plan documents. Montana augments some of HUD's data, when necessary, to report additional program accomplishments or provide detail not available in IDIS. The 2020-2021 CAPER is the first CAPER provided for the 2020-2024 Consolidated Plan and is in the eCon Planning Suite format prescribed by HUD.

Montana's CAPER references various IDIS reports, which the State uses to report accomplishments and progress made towards meeting established goals. These reports, which summarize project-level data for each program, are available and will be provided to the public and other stakeholders upon request.

CR-05 – Goals and Outcomes

Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a) This could be an overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the program year.

The 2020-2024 Consolidated Plan and 2020-2021 AAP establish five goals for the CDBG, HOME, HTF, and ESG programs:

- Preserve and Construct Affordable Housing,
- Plan for Communities,
- Improve and Sustain Vital Public Infrastructure,
- Revitalize Local Economies, and
- Reduce Homelessness.

Table CR-1A shows planned funding allocations, by program, for each of these goals. **Table CR-1B** shows actual expenditures, by program, for each of these goals.

		Goal									
Program	Preserve ar Construct Affor Housing		Plan for Communities		Improve and Sustain Vital Public Infrastructure		Revitalize Local Economies		Reduce Homelessr		
CDBG	\$795,535	12%	\$500,000	7%	\$2,636,295	39%	\$2,000,000	30%	\$450,000		
HOME	\$2,288,900	73%	\$0	\$0 0%		0%	\$0	0%	\$533,032		
ESG	\$0	0%	\$0 0%		\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$677,159		
HTF	\$2,010,000	67%	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$0	0%	\$690,000		

Table CR-1A – Plan Year 1 Program Funding Allocations by Goal

Source: 2020-2021 AAP

Table CR-1B – Plan Year 1 Program Expenditures by Goal

		Goal										
Program	Preserve an Construct Affor Housing	rdable	Plan for Communities		Improve and Sustain Vital Public Infrastructure		Revitalize Local Economies		Reduce Homelessness			
CDBG	\$616,204.29	11%	\$927,144.43	17%	\$3,961,923.80	72%	\$0.00	0%	\$0.00	0%		
HOME	\$4,091,642.84	100%	\$0.00	0%	\$0.00	0%	\$0.00	0%	\$0.00	0%		
ESG	\$0.00	0%	\$0.00 0%		\$0.00	0%	\$0.00	0%	\$278,454.99	7%		
HTF	\$3,435,300.24	80%	\$0.00	0%	\$0.00	0%	\$0.00	0%	\$841,000.00	20%		

Source: IDIS Report PR23 Count of CDBG Activities with Disbursements by Activity Group and Matrix Code; IDIS Report PR23 HOME Disbursements and Unit Completions; IDIS Report PR07 Drawdown Report by Voucher Number - All Vouchers

*In addition to the amounts in this table, \$16,044.93 of CDBG funds were used to provide technical assistance to grantees.

Projects are generally funded in one plan year and have expenditures recorded in a subsequent plan year because construction activities typically begin 12 to 15 months after award, and once construction commences, grant expenditures may not occur immediately.

Expenditures for Plan Year 1 for CDBG, HOME, HTF, and ESG totaled \$14,167,715.52. The first goal, Preserve and Construct Affordable Housing, expended \$8,143,147.37 in CDBG, HOME, and HTF resources to assist eligible households across the state through rental development and down payment assistance. The second goal, Plan for Communities, expended \$927,144.43 in CDBG resources to support local governments with long-range planning activities to address various land use and project specific needs across the state. The third goal, Improve and Sustain Public Infrastructure, expended

ess 7% 17% 93% 23% \$3,961,923.80 in CDBG resources to assist eligible Montana communities with new or improved access to vital public infrastructure. The fourth goal, Revitalize Local Economies, expended \$0.00 in CDBG resources to create or retain jobs and benefit eligible businesses. Lastly, the fifth goal, Reduce Homelessness, expended \$1,119,454.99 in ESG and HTF funds for housing and services across the state.

ESG assisted 478 individuals, spending \$94,506.76 to serve 180 individuals through homeless prevention activities and \$132,403.62 to serve 3,181 individuals through rapid rehousing activities including case management, rental assistance, rental arrears, security deposit payments, and other housing relocation and stabilization services. ESG subrecipients spent \$13,480.46 to assist shelters, serving 117 individuals through essential services and operations support. One subrecipient used \$686.49 for street outreach activities while another effectively and consistently funded their area's coordinated assessment and assistance system. Other ESG subrecipients have set up their coordinated entry systems and are using ESG in combination with other funds to cover expenses.

In addition to expending program funds in support of existing projects, Commerce also awarded program funds to several new projects. The State received three applications from three applicants for the 2020 HTF application cycle, and HTF funding was awarded to two applicants in November 2020, while the third applicant received an increase to its previous HTF award. The State received five applications from four applicants for the 2020 HOME application cycle, and HOME funding was awarded to three applicants (four projects) in November 2020, while two applicants received increases to their previous HOME awards. In December 2020 and March 2021, two applicants received HOME awards to conduct homebuyer assistance programs. The State received 35 applications from 30 applicants for the 2020 CDBG application cycle, and CDBG funding was awarded to 27 applicants in December 2020. Applicants for CDBG, HOME, and HTF funding in Plan Year 1 are presented in **Table CR-2**.

			CDBG				
Applicant	Planning	Housing	Public Facilities	Community Facilities	Economic Development	HTF	HOME
Affiliated Developers, Inc						Х	
Beaverhead County	Х						
Big Horn County				Х			
Boulder, City of	Х						
Butte-Silver Bow	Х						
Choteau, City of	Х						
Circle, Town of	Х		Х				
Columbus, City of	Х						
Darby, Town of			Х				
Fairfield, Town of				Х			
Fergus County	Х						
Flaxville, Town of			Х				
Habitat for Humanity of							х
Gallatin Valley, Inc.							^
Hamilton, City of	Х						
Harlowton, City of			W*				
Helena, City of	Х						
Hill County			Х				
Homeword, Inc.							Х
HRDC IV						Х	
HRDC IX						Х	
Hysham, Town of			Х				
Joliet, Town of			Х				

Table CR-2 – Applicants for CDBG, HOME, and HTF Funding in Plan Year 1

		CDBG							
Applicant	Planning	Housing	Public Facilities	Community Facilities	Economic Development	HTF	HOME		
Lake County Community							х		
Housing Organization							^		
Lewis & Clark County	Х			Х					
Libby, City of	Х		Х						
Madison County	Х								
Missoula County	Х		Х						
NeighborWorks Montana							Х		
Petroleum County	Х			Х					
Philipsburg, Town of	Х								
Pondera County				Х					
Powder River County					Х				
Roundup, City of			Х						
Shelby, City of			Х						
Thompson Falls, City of			Х						
Trust Montana							Х		
Valley County			Х						
Wolf Point, City of			Х						
Total	15	0	14	5	1	3	5		

Source: Commerce Application Logs

* Withdrew

Applicants often use CDBG, HOME, and HTF funds in combination with other federal, state, and local funds. Proposed projects align with community needs and address priorities identified in long-range plans. Commerce does not dictate which applicants apply or which projects are proposed; all applications are considered and ranked, and eligible projects are awarded funding based on their ranking score and funding availability.

Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g) Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee's program year goals.

Commerce does not prescribe the location or type of CDBG, HOME, and HTF projects that applicants submit; therefore, some goals may not be addressed in a specific plan year if applications are lacking or if proposed projects are not ultimately funded. Additionally, CDBG, HOME, and HTF projects generally take 2 to 4 years to complete; thus, expenditures for these programs may be reported in one plan year while associated accomplishments are reported in another. Because applications to be receipted and rankings to be determined are unknown, and because project completion dates are often difficult to predict, Commerce anticipates outcomes based on historical data. Due to these challenges, proposed outcomes may not align with actual outcomes.

ESG actual outcomes are significantly lower than planned because the ESG program has not yet received FY20 ESG funds due to a delay in the Consolidated Plan and AAP.

Table 1 shows both current and cumulative accomplishment data for the 2020-2024 Consolidated Planperiod.

		Source /	' Amount	-		All Prog	ram Years	(To Date)	Curre	ent Program	m Year
Goal	Category	All Program Years	Current Program Year	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected — Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
Improve and		CDBG: CDBG:		Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities Other than Low/ Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	5,000	3,803	76.06%	1,000	3,803	380.30%
Sustain Public Infrastructure	Community Development	\$13,000,000	\$2,636,295	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	100	0	0.00%	20	0	0.00%
Plan for Communities	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$2,500,000	CDBG: \$500,000	Other	Other: Local Governments Assisted	75	18	24.00%	15	18	120.00%
				Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	60	6	10.00%	12	6	50.00%
Preserve and		CDBG: \$4,000,000	CDBG: \$795,535	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	130	148	113.85%	26	148	569.23%
Construct	Affordable	HOME:	HOME:	Homeowner Housing Added	Household Housing Unit	10	0	0.00%	2	0	0.00%
Housing	lousing HTF:	HTF:	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	15	1	6.67%	3	1	33.33%	
		\$10,000,000	\$2,010,000	Direct Financial Assistance to Homebuyers	Households Assisted	250	22	8.80%	50	22	44.00%

Table 1 – Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

		Source /	Amount			All Prog	ram Years (To Date)	Curre	ent Program	n Year					
Goal	Category	All Program Years	Current Program Year	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected — Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected — Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete					
		\$2,500,000 \$450,0 HOME: HOME \$2,500,000 \$533,0	CDBG: \$450,000	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	1,000	120	12.00%	200	120	60.00%					
Reduce	Homeless		\$2,500,000		\$2,500,000	\$2,500,000	\$2,500,000	\$2,500,000	\$533,032	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	400	117	29.25%	80	117
Homelessness		\$3,500,000 ESG:	\$3,500,000 \$677,159	Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	2,400	180	7.50%	480	180	37.50%					
		\$3,500,000	\$690,000	Housing for Homeless added	Household Housing Unit	100	0	0.00%	20	0	0.00%					
Revitalize	Non-Housing	CDBG:		Façade treatment / business building rehabilitation	Businesses	5	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%					
Local Economies	Community Development	\$10,000,000	CDBG: \$2,000,000	Jobs created / retained	Jobs	250	16	6.40%	80	16	20.00%					
				Businesses assisted	Businesses Assisted	20	0	0.00%	3	0	0.00%					

Source: IDIS Report PR22 Status of HOME/TCAP Activities - State; IDIS Report PR23 Home Disbursements and Unit Completions; IDIS Report PR23 Count of CDBG Activities with Disbursements by Activity Group & Matrix Code; Commerce Planning Grant Logs

Assess how the jurisdiction's use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.

As stated above, the 2020-2021 AAP identified the following five goals:

- Preserve and Construct Affordable Housing
- Plan for Communities
- Improve and Sustain Vital Public Infrastructure
- Revitalize Local Economies
- Reduce Homelessness

As reflected in **Table CR-3**, the CDBG, HOME, and HTF programs funded and initiated projects throughout the state during Plan Year 1. These projects will assist communities with local efforts to preserve and construct affordable housing, plan, improve and sustain public infrastructure, revitalize the economy, and reduce homelessness. Upon completion, all activities supported by program funds will benefit income-eligible households.

Table CR-3 includes the CDBG activities reported in the Timely Distribution Report, which is submitted toHUD annually.

Program	Applicant	County	Funds Awarded	Goal	Project Description
CDBG	Shelby, City of	Toole	\$450,000	Improve and Sustain Vital Public Infrastructure	Water system improvements
CDBG	Hill County	Hill	\$260,500	Improve and Sustain Vital Public Infrastructure	Wastewater system improvements
CDBG	Big Horn County	Big Horn	\$450,000	Improve and Sustain Vital Public Infrastructure	Construction of a new ambulance facility in Hardin
CDBG	Circle, Town of	McCone	\$450,000	Improve and Sustain Vital Public Infrastructure	Wastewater system improvements
CDBG	Missoula County	Missoula	\$450,000	Improve and Sustain Vital Public Infrastructure	Wastewater system improvements
CDBG	Petroleum County	Petroleum	\$450,000	Improve and Sustain Vital Public Infrastructure	Construction of a community center that includes a space dedicated to senior services
CDBG	Pondera County	Pondera	\$450,000	Improve and Sustain Vital Public Infrastructure	Renovation of the Pondera County Medical Center
CDBG	Roundup, City of	Musselshell	\$450,000	Improve and Sustain Vital Public Infrastructure	Water system improvements
CDBG	Thompson Falls, City of	Sanders	\$450,000	Improve and Sustain Vital Public Infrastructure	Wastewater system improvements

Table CR-3 – Projects Awarded During Plan Year 1

Program	Applicant	County	Funds Awarded	Goal	Project Description
CDBG	Valley County	Valley	\$450,000	Improve and Sustain Vital Public Infrastructure	Wastewater system improvements
CDBG	Wolf Point, City of	Roosevelt	\$450,000	Improve and Sustain Vital Public Infrastructure	Wastewater system improvements
CDBG	Madison County	Madison	\$25,000	Plan for Communities	Preliminary Engineering Report
CDBG	Columbus, Town of	Stillwater	\$50,000	Plan for Communities	Parks and Sidewalk Master Plan
CDBG	Missoula County	Missoula	\$30,000	Plan for Communities	Trails Plan
CDBG	Helena, City of	Lewis & Clark	\$50,000	Plan for Communities	Affordable Housing Site Development Plan
CDBG	Philipsburg, Town of	Granite	\$36,000	Plan for Communities	Growth Policy
CDBG	Choteau, City of	Teton	\$22,500	Plan for Communities	Growth Policy and Zoning Code Update
CDBG	Beaverhead County	Beaverhead	\$30,000	Plan for Communities	Growth Policy Update
CDBG	Petroleum County	Petroleum	\$35,000	Plan for Communities	Preliminary Architectural Report
CDBG	Fergus County	Fergus	\$30,000	Plan for Communities	Growth Policy
CDBG	Circle, Town of	McCone	\$22,500	Plan for Communities	Growth Policy Update
CDBG	Boulder, City of	Jefferson	\$37,500	Plan for Communities	Preliminary Engineering Report
CDBG	Butte-Silver Bow City- County	Silver Bow	\$50,000	Plan for Communities	Master Plan Update
CDBG	Lewis & Clark County	Lewis & Clark	\$50,000	Plan for Communities	Preliminary Architectural Report
CDBG	Libby, City of	Lincoln	\$37,500	Plan for Communities	Growth Policy Update
CDBG	Hamilton, City of	Ravalli	\$35,000	Plan for Communities	Growth Policy Update
CDBG	Lewis & Clark County	Lewis & Clark	\$450,000	Revitalize Local Economies	Renovation of the Good Samaritan Thrift Store in Helena
HOME	Lake County Community Housing Organization	Lake	\$340,000 *\$923,000 awarded Plan Year 5 of 2015- 2019	Preserve and Construct Affordable Housing	New construction of Meadowlark Vista, a 24-unit rental development in Ronan for income-eligible households (9 HOME-assisted units).

Program	Applicant	County	Funds Awarded	Goal	Project Description
HOME	Habitat for Humanity of Gallatin Valley	Gallatin	\$150,000 *\$750,000 awarded Plan Year 3 of 2015- 2019	Preserve and Construct Affordable Housing	New construction of two 2-unit townhomes for sale to income- eligible homebuyers (4 HOME- assisted units).
HOME	Homeword, Inc.	Fergus	\$500,000	Preserve and Construct Affordable Housing	Rehabilitation of Crowley Flats, a 16- unit rental development in Lewistown for income-eligible households (4 HOME-assisted units).
HOME	NeighborWorks Montana	Yellowstone	\$900,000	Preserve and Construct Affordable Housing	New construction of Jackson Court, a 38-unit rental development in Billings for income-eligible households (6 HOME-assisted units).
HOME	NeighborWorks Montana	Yellowstone	\$665,000	Preserve and Construct Affordable Housing	New construction of Laurel Depot, a 19-unit rental development in Laurel for income-eligible households (5 HOME-assisted units).
HOME	Trust Montana	Missoula	\$200,000	Preserve and Construct Affordable Housing	Homebuyer assistance for homes that will be held in a community land trust
HOME	NeighborWorks Montana	State-wide	\$200,000	Preserve and Construct Affordable Housing	Homebuyer assistance to qualified homebuyers throughout Montana
HTF	HRDC District IV	Hill	\$900,000	Preserve and Construct Affordable Housing	Rehabilitation of Highland Manor, a 32-unit rental development in Havre for income-eligible households (8 HTF-assisted units).
HTF	HRDC District IX	Gallatin	\$1,000,000	Preserve and Construct Affordable Housing	Rehabilitation of the Boulevard Apartments, a 41-unit rental development in Bozeman for income- eligible households including the elderly, disabled, and those at risk of homelessness (7 HTF-assisted units).
HTF Source: C	Affiliated Developers, Inc	Hill	\$500,000 *\$163,000 awarded Plan Year 5 of 2015- 2019	Preserve and Construct Affordable Housing	Rehabilitation of Oakwood Village Apartments, a 60-unit rental development in Havre for income- eligible households (12 HTF-assisted units).

Source: Commerce's Grants Database (<u>https://commerce.mt.gov/About/FundedProjects</u>)

CR-10 – Racial and Ethnic Composition of Families Assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted). 91.520(a)

	CDBG	HOME	HOPWA	ESG	HTF	Total
White	154	135		297	0	586
Black or African American	0	3		15	0	18
Asian	0	0		0	0	0
American Indian or American Native	2	15		117	0	134
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0		1	0	1
Other	179	7		44	0	230
Not Identified	0	0		4	0	4
Total	335	160		478	0	973
Hispanic	0	10		46	0	56
Not Hispanic	0	150		431	0	581
Not Identified	335	0		1	0	336

Source: IDIS Report PR23 CDBG Beneficiaries by Racial / Ethnic Category; IDIS Report PR23 HOME Unit Completions by Racial / Ethnic Category

Narrative

The CDBG, HOME, HTF, and ESG programs assisted persons from various racial and ethnic backgrounds during Plan Year 1. 586 assisted individuals identified as White; 18 identified as Black or African American; 0 identified as Asian; 134 identified as American Indian or American Native; and 1 identified as Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander. 581 of the assisted individuals identified their ethnic background as Not Hispanic and 56 identified as Hispanic. Data presented in **Table 2** are for the projects completed during Plan Year 1 and are not cumulative for the 2020-2024 Consolidated Plan. All racial and ethnic information is reported at project completion. Since there have not been projects completed for the HTF Program during Plan Year 1, no HTF data is entered in **Table 2**.

Because the State of Montana's HOPWA funds are provided via competitive award and not through a formula grant, HOPWA data is not included in **Table 2**. HOPWA data for competitive awards is presented in a separate report, the HOPWA Annual Progress Report (APR).

CR-15 – Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

Identify the resources made available

 Table 3 reflects resources made available and expenses by program during Plan Year 1.

Sources of Funds	Source	Resources Made Available*	Amount Expended During Program Year
CDBG	Public/Federal	\$6,861,061	\$5,521,317.45
HOME	Public/Federal	\$3,635,479	\$4,091,642.84
HOPWA	N/A	N/A	N/A
ESG	Public/Federal	\$732,063	\$278,454.99
HTF	Public/Federal	\$3,000,000	\$4,276,300.24

Table 3 – Resources Made Available

Source: IDIS Report PR23 Count of CDBG Activities with Disbursements by Activity Group and Matrix Code; IDIS Report PR23 HOME Disbursements and Unit Completions; IDIS Report PR07 Drawdown Report by Voucher Number – All Vouchers

* Amounts in this column reflect the estimates provided in the 2020-2021 AAP.

Narrative

During the 2020-2021 program year, the HOME program received an allocation of \$3,135,479. Utilizing resources made available, the HOME program awarded a total of \$3,328,000 to four multifamily rental projects as follows: \$340,000 to Lake County Community Housing organization for Meadowlark Vista Apartments; \$500,000 to Homeword, Inc., for Crowley Flats; \$900,000 to NeighborWorks Montana for Jackson Court; and \$665,000 to NeighborWorks Montana for Laurel Depot (see **Table CR-3** for more information). An additional \$150,000 was awarded to Habitat for Humanity of Gallatin Valley to complete the construction of two 2-unit townhomes to be sold to income-eligible homebuyers. To continue homebuyer assistance programs throughout the state, \$400,000 in HOME funds were awarded to Trust Montana (\$200,000) and NeighborWorks Montana (\$200,000). An additional \$200,000 will be designated for other homebuyer programs as applications are received and approved. It should be noted that HOME's resources made available exceed the received allocation, which is a result of receipted program income during the program year.

During the 2020-2021 program year, the HTF program received an allocation of \$3,000,000. Utilizing resources made available, the HTF program awarded a total of \$2,400,000 to three projects as follows: \$900,000 to HRDC District IV for Highland Manor, \$1,000,000 to HRDC District IX for Boulevard Apartments, and \$500,000 to Affiliated Developers, Inc, which increased its previous award (see **Table CR-3** for more information).

During the 2020-2021 program year, the CDBG program received an allocation of \$6,682,297. Utilizing resources made available, the CDBG program awarded a total of \$5,751,500 to 27 projects as detailed in **Table CR-3**. It should be noted that CDBG's resources made available exceed the received allocation, which is a result of carryover from previous program years.

During the 2020-2021 program year, the ESG program did not receive any new federal funds. Funds expended were from FY19 allocated funds. When the allocation is received, it will be passed through to 9 of the 10 HRDCs in Montana via formula grants.

Because the State of Montana's HOPWA funds are provided via competitive award and not through a formula grant, HOPWA data is not included in **Table 3**. HOPWA data for competitive awards is presented in a separate report, the HOPWA APR.

Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Because the State of Montana does not have geographic priorities for any of its federally funded CPD programs, data are not provided in **Table 4**.

Table 4 – Identify the Geographic Distribution and Location of Investments

Target Area	Planned Percentage of Allocation	Actual Percentage of Allocation	Narrative Description

Narrative

As described in the 2020-2024 Consolidated Plan and the 2020-2021 AAP, the State of Montana does not target any particular geographic area for special assistance but allows non-entitlement communities to apply for CDBG, all communities and nonprofits to apply for HOME, and entitlement communities and nonprofits to apply for HTF funding, dependent on eligible activities and programs. Entitlement communities may apply for HOME funds if their project demonstrates clear segregation between State-assisted units and entitlement-assisted units. ESG funds are distributed via formula allocation to HRDCs throughout the state.

HTF application guidelines consider geographic diversity based on data points gathered from federal, state, and regional sources that correspond to the 2020-2024 Consolidated Plan, 2020-2021 AAP, and HTF Program goals and objectives to serve the homeless and those at risk of homelessness. However, because it is not possible to predict who will apply for HTF funding to address local needs, geographic distribution for the HTF Program is not specified.

While there is no planned geographic distribution in the 2020-2024 Consolidated Plan or 2020-2021 AAP, **Table CR-4** shows the amount of CDBG, HOME, and HTF funds awarded and percent of total funding allocation by county for the 2020-2021 program year and the entire 2020-2024 Consolidated Plan period. Of the 56 counties in Montana, 25 have received CDBG, HOME, and/or HTF funding during the 2020-2024 Consolidated Plan period.

	-,						,	,				
County	2020-2021 Funded Amount	2020-2021 % of Allocation	2021-2022 Funded Amount	2021-2022 % of Allocation	2022-2023 Funded Amount	2022-2023 % of Allocation	2023-2024 Funded Amount	2023-2024 % of Allocation	2024-2025 Funded Amount	2024-2025 % of Allocation	2020-2024 Total Funded Amount	2020-2025 Total % of Allocation
Anaconda- Deer Lodge*		0.00%										0.00%
Beaverhead	\$30,000	0.23%									\$30,000	0.23%
Big Horn	\$450,000	3.51%									\$450,000	3.51%
Blaine*		0.00%										0.00%
Broadwater*		0.00%										0.00%
Butte-Silver Bow	\$50,000	0.39%									\$50 <i>,</i> 000	0.39%
Carbon*		0.00%										0.00%
Carter*		0.00%										0.00%
Cascade*		0.00%										0.00%
Chouteau*		0.00%										0.00%
Custer*		0.00%										0.00%
Daniels*		0.00%										0.00%
Dawson*		0.00%										0.00%
Fallon*		0.00%										0.00%
Fergus	\$530,000	4.13%									\$530,000	4.13%
Flathead*		0.00%										0.00%
Gallatin	\$1,000,000	7.80%									\$1,000,000	7.80%
Garfield*		0.00%										0.00%
Glacier*		0.00%										0.00%
Golden Valley*		0.00%										0.00%
Granite	\$36,000	0.28%									\$36,000	0.28%
Hill	\$1,160,500	9.05%									\$1,160,500	9.05%
Jefferson	\$37,500	0.29%									\$37,500	0.29%
Judith Basin*		0.00%										0.00%
Lake	\$340,000	2.65%									\$340,000	2.65%
Lewis & Clark	\$550,000	4.29%									\$550,000	4.29%
Liberty*		0.00%										0.00%
Lincoln	\$37,500	0.29%									\$37,500	0.29%
Madison	\$25,000	0.20%									\$25,000	0.20%
McCone	\$472,500	3.69%									\$472,500	3.69%
Meagher*		0.00%										0.00%
Mineral*		0.00%										0.00%

Table CR-4 – Project Geographic Distribution and Percentage of Allocation for CDBG, HOME, and HTF

County	2020-2021 Funded Amount	2020-2021 % of Allocation	2021-2022 Funded Amount	2021-2022 % of Allocation	2022-2023 Funded Amount	2022-2023 % of Allocation	2023-2024 Funded Amount	2023-2024 % of Allocation	2024-2025 Funded Amount	2024-2025 % of Allocation	2020-2024 Total Funded Amount	2020-2025 Total % of Allocation
Missoula	\$480,000	3.74%									\$480,000	3.74%
Musselshell	\$450,000	3.51%									\$450,000	3.51%
Park*		0.00%										0.00%
Petroleum	\$485,000	3.78%									\$485,000	3.78%
Phillips*		0.00%										0.00%
Pondera	\$450,000	3.51%									\$450,000	3.51%
Powder River*		0.00%										0.00%
Powell*		0.00%										0.00%
Prairie*		0.00%										0.00%
Ravalli	\$35,000	0.27%									\$35,000	0.27%
Richland*		0.00%										0.00%
Roosevelt	\$450,000	3.51%									\$450,000	3.51%
Rosebud*		0.00%										0.00%
Sanders	\$450,000	3.51%									\$450,000	3.51%
Sheridan*		0.00%										0.00%
Stillwater	\$50,000	0.39%									\$50,000	0.39%
Sweet Grass*		0.00%										0.00%
Teton	\$22,500	0.18%									\$22,500	0.18%
Toole	\$450,000	3.51%									\$450,000	3.51%
Treasure*		0.00%										0.00%
Valley	\$450,000	3.51%									\$450,000	3.51%
Wheatland*		0.00%										0.00%
Wibaux*		0.00%										0.00%
Yellowstone	\$1,565,000	12.21%									\$1,565,000	12.21%
Total	\$10,056,500	78.46%									\$10,056,500	78.46%

Source: Commerce's Grants Database (<u>https://commerce.mt.gov/About/FundedProjects</u>) *County did not apply for or was not awarded CDBG, HOME, or HTF funding.

Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state, and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

Commerce programs leveraged CDBG, HOME, and HTF resources with other federal, state, and local funding to maximize the impact of HUD funding and utilize available funding resources to conduct housing and community development activities that will benefit income-eligible households.

The CDBG program requires 50% match for Economic Development projects and 25% match for Public Facilities projects. For other CDBG projects, match is encouraged but not required. However, applicants' relative ability to leverage private, local, state, or federal funds is considered when ranking other CDBG projects. The maximum amount of CDBG funds that can be awarded to an individual project cannot exceed \$450,000 for construction activities, \$400,000 for economic development activities, and \$50,000 for planning activities. These caps make leveraging an important component of any CDBG-funded project.

CDBG Economic Development match contributions can come from a variety of sources, including cash investment, bank loans, state or federal loan programs, or grants. Most Economic Development grants are at least partially matched with funds from the state Big Sky Economic Development Trust Fund, the federal Economic Development Agency, private bank loans, or owner equity.

CDBG Public Facilities match contributions are typically provided by a direct cash contribution or by incurring a loan through bond financing, which is re-paid through user charges or property tax assessments. Other local match sources include loan or grant funds from other competitive state grant programs, funds expended for predevelopment planning, the value of donated land or materials provided by the applicant, and the value of labor performed by the applicant's employees. The 25% match requirement may be waived if the local government demonstrates financial hardship and satisfies the waiver request requirements.

The HOME program requires 5% match for both multi-family and single-family projects. HOME matching contributions must come from non-federal sources and are typically obtained through private grants; direct cash contributions; donated materials and labor; donated land or real property; foregone interest, taxes, fees, etc.; and proceeds from bond financing.

ESG funds are matched by subrecipients who provide rental assistance, transitional housing and/or supportive services through the Montana Continuum of Care (MTCoC) Program. A significant amount of local ESG subrecipients contribute additional resources such as block grant funds, local public funds, and local philanthropic foundation resources to the homeless programs they operate. ESG grant funds are required to be matched 100% after the first \$100,000 of the fiscal year grant. The state recipient must transfer the benefit of this exception to its subrecipients that are least capable of providing the recipient with matching contributions. See 24 CFR §576.201 (matching requirement) for further details.

Commerce's internal tracking processes are utilized to record and report all matching funds from CDBG, and HOME projects. Grantees submit invoices and correlate funding sources to specific expenditures. Commerce staff document eligible matching funds with each invoice and track the cumulative tally

throughout the project. **Table 5** indicates the excess matching funds the HOME Program carried over from previous federal fiscal years, matching funds contributed during the current federal fiscal year, the total of all matching funds available for the current federal fiscal year, the amount of match liability for the current federal fiscal year, and the excess match that will be carried over to the next federal fiscal year. The match reported in this CAPER falls within the federal fiscal year beginning October 1, 2019, and ending September 30, 2020. In addition to this CAPER, Commerce provides match reporting via HUD form HUD-40107-A.

\$239,459.75
\$18,673,917.24
\$0.00
\$18,673,917.24
_

Source: IDIS Report PR33 - HOME Matching Liability; Commerce Comprehensive Tracking Spreadsheets

* HUD waived the HOME match requirement for the 2020 fiscal year.

Table 6 reports each HOME matching fund contribution for Plan Year 1. Matching funds were recorded between October 1, 2019, and September 30, 2020, per HUD requirements. These funds are contributed as part of the HOME Program, which supports housing development and rehabilitation activities, and provides down payment assistance to homebuyers.

	Water Contri	button for the re							
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contribution	Cash (Non- Federal Sources)	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	Appraised Land/Real Property	Required Infrastructure	Site Preparation Construction, Materials, Donated Labor	Bond Financing	Total Match	
4802	1/14/2020					\$8,936.00		\$8,936.00	
5035	10/30/2019	\$13,867.00						\$13,867.00	
5063	12/6/2019					\$55,000.00		\$55,000.00	
5076	11/22/2019	\$1,825.00						\$1,825.00	
5080	2/4/2020	\$8,262.00					\$52,250.00	\$60,512.00	
5093	11/18/2019	\$2,847.00						\$2,847.00	
5094	1/6/2020	\$11,926.55						\$11,926.55	
5095	2/4/2020	\$8,789.72					\$43,750.00	\$52,539.72	
5096	10/30/2019						\$46,590.75	\$46,590.75	
5100	11/26/2019	\$3,525.00						\$3,525.00	
5101	1/14/2020	\$7,268.00						\$7,268.00	
5105	12/6/2019	\$7,000.00					\$46,212.00	\$53,212.00	
5106	2/4/2020	\$10,715.50					\$54,330.50	\$65,046.00	
5107	2/4/2020	\$10,000.00					\$54,333.00	\$64,333.00	
5108	3/23/2020	\$2,500.00						\$2,500.00	
5116	1/23/2020						\$53,535.25	\$53,535.25	
5123	2/25/2020						\$36,994.75	\$36,994.75	
5124	4/21/2020						\$7,826.25	\$7,826.25	
5125	8/17/2020	\$2,791.53					\$30,882.50	\$33,674.03	
5126	4/29/2020	\$11,500.00					\$43,939.00	\$55 <i>,</i> 439.00	
5142	6/1/2020	\$3,786.00						\$3,786.00	
5159	6/4/2020	\$2,500.00						\$2,500.00	
5162	8/24/2020						\$49,078.50	\$49,078.50	
5163	8/24/2020						\$31,613.00	\$31,613.00	
5166	8/17/2020	\$1,573.45						\$1,573.45	
5167	9/3/2020	\$4,982.00						\$4,982.00	
		\$115,658.75	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$63,936.00	\$551,335.50	\$730,930.25	
						Total Non-Bond	Financing Match:	\$179,594.75	
Total Bond Financing Match Eligible:									
TOTAL ELIGIBLE MATCH:									

 Table 6 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

Source: IDIS Report PR07 - Drawdown by Voucher Number - All Vouchers; Commerce Comprehensive Tracking Spreadsheets

HOME began the program year with \$0.00 in program income, received \$562,120.25 in program income during the program year and expended \$562,120.25. The HOME program does not award or expend funding for Tenant Based Rental Assistance (TBRA) activities, therefore there are zero dollars listed in Table 7 for TBRA activities.

Program Income – Enter the program amounts for the reporting period										
Balance on hand at	Amount received	Total amount	Amount	Balance on						
the beginning of the	during reporting	expended during	expended for	hand at end of						
reporting period	period	reporting period	TBRA	reporting period						
\$	\$	\$	\$	\$						
\$0.00	\$562,120.25	\$562,120.25	\$0.00	\$0.00						

Table 7 – Program Income

IDIS Report PR09 Program Income Details by Fiscal Year and Program Source:

HOME MBE/WBE Report

Commerce collects and records Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE), Minority Business Enterprise (MBE), and Women-Owned Business Enterprise (WBE) information throughout the program year and reports it to HUD for the Federal Fiscal Year in the Contract and Subcontract Activity Report. Table 8 shows the number of contracts reported for HOME grants, the dollar amount of these contracts, and whether the contractors for the HOME projects report being MBE or WBE. For HOME in Plan Year 1, Commerce recorded one MBE prime contractor, one MBE subcontractor, and one WBE subcontractor.

Table 8 – Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises

Minority Business Enterprises and Women Business Enterprises – Indicate the number and dollar value of						
contracts for HOME projects completed during the reporting period						
	Minority Business Enterprises					
	TOTAL	Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non- Hispanic	Hispanic	White Non- Hispanic
Contracts						
Number	2	1				1
Dollar Amount	\$7,990,051.25	\$3,495,389.25				\$4,494,662.00
Sub-Contra	acts					
Number	32	1				31
Dollar Amount	\$4,281,267.00	\$54,500.00				\$4,226,767.00
	TOTAL	Women Business Enterprises	Male			
Contracts						
Number	2		2			
Dollar Amount	\$7,990,051.25		\$7,990,051.25			
Sub-Contra	acts					
Number	32	1	31			
Dollar Amount	\$4,281,267.00	\$117,842.00	\$4,163,425.00			

Form HUD-2516 for periods 4/1/2020-9/30/2020 and 10/1/2020-3/31/2021

During Plan Year 1, Commerce received no report of any Minority Owner of Rental Property for housing grants. This is reflected in **Table 9**.

	Minority Owners of Rental Property – Indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted						
		Minority Property Owners					
	TOTAL	Alaskan Native or American Indian Asian or Pacific Islander Black Non- Hispanic Hispanic Hispanic				White Non- Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dollar Amount	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	

Table 9 – Minority Owners of Rental Property

During Plan Year 1, 12 households were temporarily relocated because of rehabilitation activities. Relocation costs incurred during the program year totaled \$6,000. This information is reflected in **Table 10**. No parcels were acquired, no businesses were displaced, and no nonprofit organizations were displaced. No households were displaced during the program year.

	Table 10 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition					
Relocation and Real Property Acquisition – Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation						
payments, the n	payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition					
Parcels	0	\$0				
Acquired	0	ŞΟ				
Businesses	0	\$0				
Displaced	0	ŞŪ				
Nonprofit						
Organizations	0	\$0				
Displaced						
Households						
Temporarily	10	¢6,000				
Relocated, not	12	\$6,000				
Displaced						
			Minority Prope	rty Enterprises		
Households		Alaskan	Asian or			White Nor
	TOTAL	Native or	Asian or	Black Non-	Hisponia	White Non-
Displaced		American	Pacific	Hispanic	Hispanic	Hispanic
		Indian	Islander			
Number	0					
Cost	\$0					

Table 10 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

CR-20 – Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

Table 11 – Number of Households

	1-Year Goal	Actual
Number of homeless* households to be provided affordable housing units	1,340	
Number of non-homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	40	154
Number of special-needs* households to be provided affordable housing units	25	
Total**	1,405	154

Source: IDIS Report PR22 Status of HOME/TCAP Activities - State; IDIS Report PR23 CDBG Sum of Actual Accomplishments by Activity Group and Accomplishment Type; IDIS Report PR23 HOME Disbursements and Unit Completions; DPHHS

*Special needs, for the purpose of this report, is defined as disabled, elderly, veteran, and HIV/AIDS households, or households that have been impacted by domestic violence. Neither homeless nor special-needs households as defined are tracked and reported in IDIS.

**These categories are not mutually exclusive; a household will be either homeless or non-homeless and may also be special needs.

	1-Year Goal	Actual
Number of households supported through rental assistance	600	194
Number of households supported through the production of new units	14	6
Number of households supported through the rehab of existing units	26	148
Number of households supported through the acquisition of existing units	50	22
Total	690	370

Table 12 – Number of Households Supported

Source: IDIS Report PR22 Status of HOME/TCAP Activities - State; IDIS Report PR23 CDBG Sum of Actual Accomplishments by Activity Group and Accomplishment Type; IDIS Report PR23 HOME Disbursements and Unit Completions; DPHHS

Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals. The State of Montana made progress towards reaching the goals and objectives identified in the 2020-2024 Consolidated Plan and 2020-2021 AAP. During Plan Year 1 Commerce awarded CDBG, HOME, and HTF funds to activities that addressed Goals 1 through 4. CDBG-funded activities provided critical assistance to 25,939 individuals or households through housing, infrastructure, public and community facilities, and economic development. HOME-funded activities provided critical affordable housing development and financing to 160 households. No HTF-funded activities were completed through Plan Year 1; five to eight projects are expected to be completed and occupied in Plan Year 2. ESG-funded activities provided assistance to 478 individuals in 245 households. Helping individuals secure stable housing for homeless prevention or rehousing requires more than one-time support and more intensive case management. Therefore, ESG subrecipients are finding it necessary to spend more funds per client that is assisted than anticipated and are not able to help as many individuals as planned. In addition, numbers reflect the reduction in funding due to a delay in FY20 funds.

Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.

Commerce will continue to improve grant processes to successfully report the accomplishments of the CDBG, HOME, and HTF programs and measure progress made towards reaching long- and short-term goals and objectives.

Actual outcomes will be used to determine anticipated outcomes in subsequent AAPs. All AAPs will properly transmit within the format prescribed through the eCon Planning Suite.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

Number of Households Served	CDBG Actual (Housing)	HOME Actual	HTF Actual				
Extremely Low-income	0	127	0				
Low-income	0	20	0				
Moderate-income	0	13	0				
Total	0	160	0				

Table 13A – Number of Households Served

Source: IDIS Report PR23 CDBG Summary of Accomplishments: CDBG Beneficiaries by Income Category; IDIS Report PR23 HOME Summary of Accomplishments: Home Unit Completions by Percent of Area Median Income; PR100 - HTF Activity Status Report

Number of Persons Served	CDBG Actual (Non-Housing)	HOME Actual	HTF Actual
Extremely Low-income	7		
Low-income	3		
Moderate-income	0		
Non Low-income/Moderate-income	8		
Total	18		

Table 13B – Number of Persons Served

Source: IDIS Report PR23 CDBG Summary of Accomplishments: CDBG Beneficiaries by Income Category

Narrative Information

CPD resources (HTF specifically) are used to address the needs of individuals who are homeless or at-risk of homelessness, extremely low-income seniors and persons with disabilities, and households who are rent-burdened or live in substandard housing. Commerce encourages all applicants to develop affordable housing that addresses the needs of their community's most vulnerable families and individuals; however, Commerce does not mandate the types or locations of projects that are submitted. Commerce accepts applications from all eligible entities working to meet the highest and most critical housing needs in local communities. Commerce accepted HTF applications in September 2020 and awarded its fourth round of HTF grants in November 2020. Projects awarded are detailed in **Table CR-3**. All awards will address the highest and most critical housing needs of families and individuals in Montana.

Table 13A and **Table 13B** report the number of beneficiaries served by CDBG, HOME, and HTF activities. CDBG assisted 7 extremely low-income persons, 3 low-income persons, and 0 moderate-income persons. HOME provided assistance to 127 extremely low-income households, 20 low-income households, and 13 moderate income households. Because HTF projects have not yet been completed, there is not yet beneficiary data to report under the HTF program.

CR-25 – Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)

Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

Outreach

DPHHS coordinates and aligns its goals for ESG with the efforts of the MTCoC. The continued role of the statewide continuum of care is critical in meeting the needs of the homeless population in Montana.

While MTCoC strives to meld and leverage programs and resources at the state level, it also strives to assure homeless persons can access these programs through effective, coordinated entry, case management and service delivery at the local level. It does so by encouraging strong community continuum of care organizations that bring all providers of homeless services together to identify needs, close gaps, coordinate client services across all programs, and identify new and innovative approaches to eradicating homeless conditions.

Outreach, especially to the unsheltered, is being met primarily through the outreach activities of the state's emergency shelters, the Supportive Services for Veteran Families (SSVF) program which now operates statewide, local Veteran groups, faith-based programs and the federally funded Project for Assistance in Transition from Homelessness (PATH) programs (but only in Billings, Butte, and Missoula). Coordinated Entry Systems across the state provide for uniform intake and assessment using the assessment tools and case conferencing to score vulnerability levels and prioritize those most in need for available housing opportunities.

There were 1,545 persons experiencing homelessness on the night of January 30, 2020. 767 were in emergency shelters, 318 were in transitional housing and 460 were unsheltered (outside).

Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

Emergency Shelter (ES): Of the unsheltered, there were 348 households, of which 22 were family households with 78 persons, including 44 children under the age of 18. 3 of these households (10 persons) were chronically homeless. There were 381 unsheltered individuals (a household without children), of which 154 were chronically homeless.

There were 550 households in emergency shelters. 88 were family households with 291 persons, of which 12 were children. 474 adult individuals (without children) were in emergency shelter, of which 50 were chronically homeless. The number of emergency shelter beds remained virtually the same as in 2019 at 767 beds.

Transitional Housing (TH): There were 194 households in transitional housing with a total of 318 persons, of which 180 were persons in families with 108 children. There were 132 adult individuals

(without children) in transitional housing. The number of transitional housing beds in 2020, 354, was 23% less than in 2019.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

ESG funds are used for Homelessness prevention activities. In Plan Year 1, 180 individuals were served with these funds.

All the housing programs are required to provide case management and assist clients in accessing cash benefits from mainstream programs that include: Supplemental Security Income, Social Security Disability Insurance, Veterans Disability, TANF, retirement and pensions or child support. These programs also assist clients to access non-cash mainstream benefits from Medicaid, Medicare, State children's health insurance, WIC, VA medical services, TANF childcare, temporary rental assistance, and Section 8 or other public rental assistance.

There are many vital programs providing other services to the homeless, such as:

- Health Care for the Homeless (HCH) is a federally funded Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) program that provides temporary medical services to homeless individuals and families. There are two clinics in Billings and Missoula and six satellite service sites in Billings, Butte, and Helena.
- The PATH program coordinates the SSI/SSDI Outreach, Access and Recovery (SOARS) training which helps all homeless case managers successfully assist homeless clients apply for SSI and SSDI assistance.
- Volunteers of America, Billings, is a recent recipient of a Veterans Administration's Supportive Services for Homeless Veteran Families grant which provides services and some rental assistance to veteran households in the Billings area.
- The 10 HRDCs provide a statewide network of no-wrong-door accessibility to homeless assistance, including short-term rental assistance, emergency shelter, food banks, and referral assistance to a myriad of other programs.

Discharged Persons from Public Institutions: Both the ESG and continuum of care Rapid Rehousing programs serve discharged persons. The MTCoC does not specifically target funding toward discharged persons, the ESG and continuum of care programs assist persons according to vulnerability assessments conducted during the Coordinated Entry System intake and assessment process.

The Montana Department of Corrections works with incarcerated individuals to develop individualized plans for the offender reentry. Efforts include connecting offenders with potential housing leads, engaging landlords, onsite reviews of potential housing opportunities to identify safe and supportive environments and networking with other community resources.

The Statewide Reentry Task Force reviews and compiles policy recommendations related to offender reentry. DPHHS, HRDCs, and the Department of Corrections may partner and review solutions for

increasing the effectiveness of reentry objectives related to stable housing, then bring their ideas to the Reentry Task Force for consideration. Statewide Reentry Task Force public policy recommendations are provided to the Law and Justice Interim Committee to create, where appropriate, supportive legislation.

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again

The MTCoC, along with all other low-income organizations, continue to prioritize the severe shortage of affordable housing as the greatest need followed by the need for more federal—and state—funding of permanent supportive housing. To date, efforts to increase state funding through the legislature have not been successful and Congressional funding to HUD/CoC continues to be the sole resource.

Chronically Homeless (CH): As Coordinated Entry Systems become fully implemented, the focus on serving Chronic Homeless continues through the vulnerability assessment process provided in Coordinated Entry.

Rapid Rehousing (RRH): The 2020 Housing Inventory counted 232 rapid rehousing vouchers in use on the night of January 30, 2020. This was a significant decrease from the 583 vouchers in use one year earlier and was experienced across the board of all rapid rehousing types, i.e., CoC, ESG, and Supportive Services for Veteran Families.

Eligible applicants for all program components include states, local governments, other government agencies (such as public housing authorities), private nonprofit organizations, and community mental health associations that are public nonprofit organizations.

ESG funds are used for RRH activities; 181 individuals were served with these funds.

Permanent Supportive Housing: Permanent supportive housing provides long-term housing with supportive services for persons with disabilities experiencing homelessness allowing them to live independently in a permanent setting. CoC funding levels for Montana permanent supportive housing have remained unchanged with \$1.47 million funding five projects in Missoula, Kalispell, Helena, and Butte. Total for all permanent supportive housing inventory (including CoC and Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing or VASH) has remained virtually unchanged from 2019 at 932 permanent supportive housing beds.

Beds provided with HOPWA funds are reported in the HOPWA APR since the State receives a competitive HOPWA award and not a formula grant.

Currently, neither TANF nor Medicaid are used to provide homeless housing assistance.

CR-30 – Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

While HTF, HOME, and CDBG funds are not used for public housing investment, these resources are available to public housing authorities for the development of other, non-public affordable housing.

During Plan Year 1, \$841,000 in HTF funding was disbursed to a public housing authority for eligible housing activities.

No public housing authorities applied for or partnered with a local government to apply for HTF, HOME, or CDBG funding during Plan Year 1; thus, no HTF, HOME, or CDBG funds have been awarded to public housing authorities under 2020-2024 Consolidated Plan.

All needs, including public housing needs, are assessed by gathering and evaluating data and soliciting citizen and community input. While some identified needs may fall outside the scope of the HTF, HOME, and CDBG programs, Commerce can and does work collaboratively with others to ensure that comprehensive and statewide strategies for addressing all priority needs are in place.

Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

All applications for homebuyer assistance under the HOME Program identify whether prospective homebuyers come from public housing. Divisions within Commerce work collaboratively to encourage low- and moderate-income residents, including public housing residents, to attend homebuyer education courses offered throughout the state by various qualified providers. These courses are advertised statewide at various public housing authorities, with key partner agencies, and through a multi-media marketing approach.

Consolidated Plan documents are made available at various repositories where public housing residents are likely to seek services. All Montana citizens, including public housing residents, are encouraged to actively engage in the development and assessment processes of Montana's Consolidated Plan.

Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs

The HOME, HTF, and CDBG programs did not identify a troubled public housing authority during Plan Year 1; therefore, no actions were taken to assist troubled public housing authorities.

CR-35 – Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220(j); 91.320(i)

Commerce administers the Community Technical Assistance Program (CTAP). CTAP provides direct technical assistance to local governments and elected officials, land use planners, associated professionals, and members of the public on issues related to land use planning and development throughout the state.

Through CDBG funding, local communities can prepare or update various planning documents, including comprehensive land use planning documents, which identify barriers to affordable housing (such as zoning, subdivision, and annexation regulations) and assist communities with addressing local needs.

Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

All the activities funded under the CDBG, HOME, HTF, and ESG programs addressed obstacles to meeting underserved needs. CDBG, HOME, and HTF all promote projects that align with the resiliency goals set forth by Commerce which include projects aimed at strengthening local independence, stability, and sense of community.

During Plan Year 1, the CDBG, HOME, and HTF programs awarded grants to projects that will provide clean, safe, affordable, decent, and sanitary housing for underserved and special needs populations. These projects include:

- The rehabilitation of Highland Manor, a 32-unit rental development in Havre, which will provide housing for extremely low-income households.
- The rehabilitation of Boulevard Apartments, a 41-unit rental development in Bozeman, which will provide housing for extremely low-income households including the elderly, disabled, and those at risk of homelessness.

Coordinated Entry Systems across the state provide for uniform intake and assessment of ESG and partnering programs using the local assessment tools and case conferencing to score vulnerability levels and prioritize those most in need for available housing opportunities.

Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The State diligently undertakes rehabilitation and construction activities to ensure that households, particularly those with children, benefitting from federal housing programs are safe from LBP hazards and comply with current requirements of Title X of the Residential Lead Based Paint Hazard Reduction Act of 1992. Both Commerce and DPHHS provide education and information on LBP hazards to parents, families, healthcare providers, grant recipients, and contractors. Commerce requires that any contractor or subcontractor engaged in renovation, repair, and painting activities that disturb LBP in homes and childcare facilities built before 1978 is certified and follows specific work practices to prevent lead contamination. In addition to complying with Title X, UPCS inspections are performed on all homes (renter or owner-occupied) assisted with HTF, HOME, Section 8, and other public funds.

UPCS inspections are also conducted on all homes purchased with HOME assistance prior to the commitment of HOME funds. State staff conducting UPCS inspections complete UPCS training as well as HUD's online Lead-Based Paint Visual Assessment Training.

Units that ESG-eligible households live in or move into must adhere to LBP requirements as set forth by HUD.

Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The State, in coordination with nonprofit organizations and the private sector, work to ensure individuals and families have pathways out of poverty by supporting local and regional efforts to increase household incomes and provide affordable housing options. All of the strategies and priorities identified in the Consolidated Plan and AAP target the improvement of economic conditions for Montanans of low to moderate income, from the rehabilitation and new construction of affordable units, homebuyer assistance, and temporary shelter services to investment in compact, walkable development where efficiencies of public infrastructure, community services, and employment centers encourage healthy, vital, and resilient communities.

All HOME and HTF housing activities and all CDBG housing, public and community facilities, and economic development activities target extremely low-, low-, and moderate-income households and either directly or indirectly benefit poverty-level families.

Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Gaps in the institutional delivery system exist primarily due to funding limitations that are outside the control of the State. CDBG, HOME, HTF, and ESG resources are not adequate to meet the needs of Montanans with less than low to moderate incomes, particularly the homeless, disabled, elderly, and other disadvantaged populations. However, the ability to effectively relay information regarding existing grant opportunities and technical assistance from the State to eligible entities continues to be one of Montana's greatest challenges. There are areas of opportunity for increased collaboration vertically and horizontally, as well as across and between agencies, organizations, and the private sector to ensure that services are delivered to the greatest number of eligible entities and individuals in the state.

During Plan Year 1, both vertical and horizontal collaboration was emphasized with Commerce's Community Development Division and Housing Division working closely together, along with DPHHS and the MTCoC, to address housing and healthcare needs in Montana. Efforts included participating in the Medicaid Innovation Accelerator Program, completing an application for Mainstream Vouchers, and establishing the Interdepartmental Housing Integration Project (IHIP). For more information, see Montana's 2020-2024 Consolidated Plan for Housing and Community Development.

Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Commerce pursues opportunities to coordinate with public and private housing and social service agencies and partners. Coordination occurs during the execution and completion of planning and development projects.

DPHHS is represented on various state advisory groups to ensure coordination of social services for needy populations in Montana. DPHHS works proactively with its MTCoC partners to ensure that efficient and effective coordination of services exists between affordable housing and social services.

Commerce and DPHHS continue to work with a vast array of public entities and key stakeholders, through numerous public meetings to affirmative further fair housing. Commerce and DPHHS also participate in the IHIP to address housing and healthcare needs.

Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)

Commerce and DPHHS are collaborating with a statewide partnership that includes the three entitlement communities (Great Falls, Missoula and Billings) and the many public housing authorities across the state to begin development of a state-wide Affirmative Fair Housing plan. These efforts will result in a statewide approach to reducing or eliminating impediments to fair housing choice in Montana.

CR-40 – Monitoring 91.220; 91.230

Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

Commerce works closely with all grantees to ensure compliance with program requirements. The HOME, CDBG, and HTF programs continually evaluate and improve processes to ensure compliance with federal regulations. Program staff hold regular calls with grantees to deliver technical assistance in every phase of a project.

HOME and HTF project activities are monitored twice during the project's scope of work. One monitoring includes a site visit and a detailed desk audit of all relevant records and documents related to the project. The second monitoring includes a visit to the construction site at project completion to conduct UPCS inspections and ensure the project is prepared for closeout. HOME and HTF projects are then monitored during the first 3 years of the period of affordability with the first monitoring occurring within 1 year of project closeout per HUD regulations. These initial monitoring visits provide the grantee with an opportunity to receive HOME and HTF program training and technical assistance. After the first 3 years of the period of affordability monitoring, a property inspection as well as a file review to verify tenant income eligibility, rent limit compliance, and proper documentation is completed for a sample of assisted units. Additionally, on an annual basis, HOME and HTF grantees submit rent and occupancy information to Commerce reporting on beneficiaries, rent structure, and changes to leases or tenant selection policies. Monitoring visits also help verify the information submitted in rent and occupancy reports.

CDBG project activities are all monitored at least once during the project's scope of work. The monitoring includes a review of the grantee's project file, an inspection of the facility, and a review of the financial processes. Program staff work with grantees and relevant partners to schedule monitoring visits, providing ample notice and sufficient details to ensure a productive and thorough monitoring. If issues are observed or technical assistance is needed, a project may be monitored more than once before closeout. After a project has been monitored, Commerce issues a monitoring letter documenting performance issues, concerns, or findings and relevant corrective actions (if any).

During Plan Year 1, three CDBG projects, two HOME projects, and two HTF projects were monitored during scope of work onsite visits. HTF projects monitored include Cornerstone Apartments and Red Alder Apartments. HOME projects monitored include Red Alder Apartments and Copper Ridge Apartments. CDBG projects monitored include City of Roundup, Town of Eureka, and City of Harlowton. All projects monitored were funded during previous program years but had begun construction or were substantially complete during this program year.

During Plan Year 1, one HOME project, the Butte AWARE Duplex, was monitored during its period of affordability. No HTF projects were monitored for period of affordability compliance since no HTF projects are yet in operation. See CR-50 for more information.

All 9 ESG subrecipients were monitored during onsite visits. Monitoring activities completed for the HOPWA Program are reported in the HOPWA APR since the State receives a competitive HOPWA award and not a formula grant.

Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)

Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports

Commerce announces the availability of draft documents through its website, print advertisements in newspapers across the state, and a listserv of approximately 5,000 individuals, towns, counties, nonprofits, and interested parties. The draft documents are available electronically on Commerce's website and in hard copy at 22 repositories spread across Montana. The draft documents are also available upon request.

Citizens are invited to comment on draft documents via oral testimony during in-person or virtual public hearings or via written statements provided through email or regular mail. Citizens are provided reasonable accommodations and alternative formats of draft documents and hearing materials upon request.

The public comment period for the Draft 2020-2021 CAPER began June 8, 2021, and ended June 25, 2021. A virtual public hearing was held June 23, 2021. An official transcript of the public hearing and a record of all comments considered and responses provided are included in **Appendix A**.

CR-45 – CDBG 91.520(c)

Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction's program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

There have not been any changes in Commerce's program objectives during Year 1.

Does this jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?

No.

[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.

N/A

CR-50 – HOME 91.520(d)

Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations

As described in CR-40, HOME projects under construction are monitored two or more times during the grant period. At least one construction site visit is conducted and one full monitoring visit, which includes a full review of the grantee file and inspection of the HOME-assisted project and units. Projects are then monitored during the first 3 years of the period of affordability and then every 1 to 3 years thereafter depending on assessed risk.

HUD provided several waivers in response to COVID-19, one of which allowed onsite inspections of existing HOME properties to be delayed through December 31, 2020, which was later extended to September 30, 2021. Out of an abundance of caution for the health and safety of program staff, grantees, and beneficiaries, and in keeping with waiver flexibilities, Commerce did not complete onsite inspections of affordable rental housing in operation during Plan Year 1.

While HUD did not waive the requirement to inspect units at project completion and prior to occupancy, the State of Montana did restrict employee travel throughout Plan Year 1. To comply with both requirements, Commerce has worked closely with grantees to ensure that units are safely and properly inspected upon completion and before occupancy.

See **Appendix B** for a table of HOME projects monitored between April 1, 2020, and March 31, 2021.

Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units. 92.351(b)

Commerce reviews all Affirmative Fair Housing Marketing Plans (AFHMP) for HOME-assisted projects. Each project with five (5) or more HOME-assisted units is required to complete and submit an AFHMP for review and approval. During the Annual Rental Certifications, Commerce requests that all updated documentation be submitted and kept in project records. Commerce provides technical assistance for updating AFHMP to grantees and property owners/managers during the construction phase and on an ongoing basis during the period of affordability.

Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics

According to IDIS Report PR09, HOME began the program year with \$0.00 in program income, received \$562,120.25 in program income during the program year and expended \$562,120.25.

Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 91.220(k) (STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing). 91.320(j)

Within Commerce, LIHTC program managers and CPD program managers work together to foster and maintain affordable housing in Montana. LIHTC is often a leveraged funding source for HOME, HTF, and CDBG housing projects. Coordination within Commerce has aligned application deadlines and improved

communication with grantees to provide for more comprehensive technical assistance at every phase of project work.

CR-55 - HOPWA 91.520(e)

Identify the number of individuals assisted and the types of assistance provided

The number of individuals assisted and the types of assistance provided under the HOPWA Program are reported in the HOPWA APR since the State receives a competitive HOPWA award and not a formula grant.

Number of Households Served Through:	1-Year Goal	Actual
Short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance payments		
Tenant-based rental assistance		
Units provided in transitional housing facilities developed,		
leased, or operated with HOPWA funds		
Units provided in permanent housing facilities developed,		
leased, or operated with HOPWA funds		
Total		

Table 14 – HOPWA Number of Households Served

Narrative

Because the State of Montana's HOPWA funds are provided via competitive award and not through a formula grant, HOPWA data is not included in **Table 14**. HOPWA data for competitive awards is presented in a separate report, the HOPWA APR.

CR-56 – HTF 91.520(h)

Describe the extent to which the grantee complied with its approved HTF allocation plan and the requirements of 24 CFR part 93.

As detailed in the 2020-2021 AAP, Commerce set out to use its \$3,000,000 annual allocation for acquisition, demolition, reconstruction, and new construction to support the development and rehabilitation of rental housing. All activities meet the requirements of 24 CFR part 93.

Although several HTF activities have been undertaken in this and previous plan years, no HTF activities have been completed to date, as shown in **Table 15**. Commerce anticipates reporting out several HTF activities in Plan Year 2.

Onsite monitoring results for HTF projects under construction are detailed in **Appendix B**.

Tenure Type	0 – 30% AMI	0% of 30+ to poverty line (when poverty line is higher than 30% AMI)	% of the higher of 30+ AMI or poverty line to 50% AMI	Total Occupied Units	Units Completed, Not Occupied	Total Completed Units
Rental	0	0	0	0	0	0
Homebuyer*	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 15 – CR-56 HTF Units in HTF Activities Completed During the Period

* The approved AAP outlines all HTF funds will be used for rental projects.

CR-60 – ESG 91.520(g)

ESG Supplement to the CAPER in *e-snaps for Paperwork Reduction Act*

1. Recipient Information – All Recipients Complete

Basic Grant Information	
Recipient Name	MONTANA
Organizational DUNS Number	809790579
EIN/TIN Number	810302402
Identify the Field Office	DENVER
Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or	
subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance	

ESG Contact Name Prefix Ms. First Name Sara Middle Name Last Name Loewen Suffix **Bureau Chief** Title ESG Contact Address Street Address 1 1400 Carter Drive Street Address 1 City Helena State MT 59620 ZIP Code 406-447-4265 Phone Number Extension Fax Number Email Address sloewen@mt.gov ESG Secondary Contact Prefix Ms. First Name Marcia Last Name Lemon Suffix Title

Phone Number Extension Email Address Energy and Community Services Program Manager 406-447-4276

mlemon@mt.gov

2. Reporting Period – All Recipients Complete

Program Year Start Date	04/01/2020
Program Year End Date	03/31/2021

3. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient

Subrecipient or Contractor Name	Northwest Montana Human Resources
City	Kalispell
State	MT
Zip Code	59903-1058
DUNS Number	
Is subrecipient a victim services provider	Ν
Subrecipient Organization Type	Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount	\$40,458.50

Subrecipient or Contractor Name	HRDC of District IX, Inc.
City	Bozeman
State	MT
Zip Code	59715-6241
DUNS Number	
Is subrecipient a victim services provider	Ν
Subrecipient Organization Type	Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount	\$10,091.38

Subrecipient or Contractor Name	Human Resources Development Council
City	Missoula
State	MT
Zip Code	59801-5763
DUNS Number	
Is subrecipient a victim services provider	Ν
Subrecipient Organization Type	Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount	\$19,618.00

Subrecipient or Contractor Name	Action Inc - Human Resources Council District XII
City	Butte
State	MT
Zip Code	59701-9362
DUNS Number	
Is subrecipient a victim services provider	Ν
Subrecipient Organization Type	Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount	\$25,568.83

Subrecipient or Contractor Name	Rocky Mountain Development Council, Inc.	
City	Helena	
State	MT	
Zip Code	59624-1717	
DUNS Number		
Is subrecipient a victim services provider	Ν	

Subrecipient Organization Type	Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount	\$32,239.00

Subrecipient or Contractor Name	District IV HRDC
City	Havre
State	MT
Zip Code	59501-4960
DUNS Number	
Is subrecipient a victim services provider	N
Subrecipient Organization Type	Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount	\$9,814.06

Subrecipient or Contractor Name	HRDC District 7
City	Billings
State	MT
Zip Code	59101-2114
DUNS Number	
Is subrecipient a victim services provider	Ν
Subrecipient Organization Type	Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount	\$61,140.46

Subrecipient or Contractor Name	District 6 - HRDC VI
City	Lewistown
State	MT
Zip Code	59457-1700
DUNS Number	
Is subrecipient a victim services provider	Ν
Subrecipient Organization Type	Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount	\$5,836.81

Subrecipient or Contractor Name	Opportunities Incorporated
City	Great Falls
State	MT
Zip Code	59401-2605
DUNS Number	
Is subrecipient a victim services provider	N
Subrecipient Organization Type	Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount	\$51,751.08

CR-65 – Persons Assisted

4. Persons Served

Not applicable to ESG. Data uploaded to Sage. Attached eCart file to CR-00.

4a. Complete for Homelessness Prevention Activities

Table 16 – Household Information for Homeless Prevention Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	
Children	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

4b. Complete for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

Table 17 – Household Information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	
Children	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

4c. Complete for Shelter

Table 18 – Shelter Information

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	
Children	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

4d. Street Outreach

Table 19 – Household Information for Street Outreach

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	
Children	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

4e. Totals for all Persons Served with ESG

Table 20 – Household Information for Persons Served with ESG	
Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	
Children	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

Table 20 – Household Information for Persons Served with ESG

5. Gender – Complete for All Activities

Table 21 – Gender Information

	Total
Male	
Female	
Transgender	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

6. Age – Complete for All Activities

Table 22 – Age Information

	Total
Under 18	
18-24	
25 and over	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	
Missing Information	
Total	

7. Special Populations Served – Complete for All Activities

Table 23 – Special Population Served

Subpopulation	Total	Total Persons Served – Prevention	Total Persons Served – RRH	Total Persons Served in Emergency Shelters
Veterans				
Victims of Domestic Violence				
Elderly				
HIV/AIDS				
Chronically Homeless				
Persons with Disabilities:				
Severely Mentally III				
Chronic Substance Abuse				
Other Disability				
Total (unduplicated if possible)				

CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) – Assistance Provided and Outcomes

10. Shelter Utilization

Table 24 – Shelter Capacity

Number of New Units – Rehabbed	0
Number of New Units – Conversion	13
Total Number of beds – nights available	6,485
Total Number of beds – nights provided	1,715
Capacity Utilization	26.4%
Total Persons Served (unduplicated)	117

11. Project Outcomes Data measured under the performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC(s)

Agencies receiving ESG funds have continued to focus funding on Rapid Rehousing and Homeless Prevention activities as directed by the MTCoC's Strategic Plan. There were two agencies that allocated to Shelter Services: District IV HRDC which funded Essential Services and District VII HRDC which funded Shelter Operations.

CR-75 – Expenditures

11. Expenditures

11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

Table 25 – ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	\$0.00	\$38,308.84	\$0.00	
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services – Financial Assistance	\$0.00	\$11,978	\$0.00	
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services – Services	\$0.00	\$44,219.92	\$0.00	
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Subtotal Homelessness Prevention	\$0.00	\$94,506.76	\$0.00	

11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

Table 26 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	\$0.00	\$34,935.15	\$0.00
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and	\$0.00	\$47,725.55	\$0.00
Stabilization Services – Financial Assistance	\$0.00	\$47,725.55	ŞU.UU
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and	\$0.00	\$49,742.92	\$0.00
Stabilization Services – Services	Ş0.00	Ş49,742.92	Ş0.00
Expenditures for Homeless Assistance under	¢0.00	\$0.00	¢0.00
Emergency Shelter Grants Program	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing	\$0.00	\$132,403.62	\$0.00

11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

Table 27 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Essential Services	\$0.00	\$327.46	\$0.00
Operations	\$0.00	\$13,153.00	\$0.00
Renovation	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Major Rehab	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Conversion	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Subtotal Emergency Shelter	\$0.00	\$13,480.46	\$0.00

11d. Other Grant Expenditures

Table 28 – Other Grant Expenditures

Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Street Outreach	\$0.00	\$686.49	\$0.00
HMIS	\$0.00	\$18,700.50	\$0.00
Administration	\$0.00	\$18,677.16	\$0.00
Subtotal Other	\$0.00	\$38,064.15	\$0.00

11e. Total ESG Grant Funds

Table 29 – Total ESG Funds Expended

Total ESG Funds Expended	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
	\$0.00	\$278,454.99	\$0.00

11f. Match Source

Table 30 – Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities

	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020
Other Non-ESG HUD Funds	\$0.00	\$36,696.29	\$0.00
Other Federal Funds	\$0.00	\$23,550.98	\$0.00
State Government	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Local Government	\$0.00	\$54,901.55	\$0.00
Private Funds	\$0.00	\$79,544.79	\$0.00
Other	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Fees	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Program Income	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Match Amount	\$0.00	\$194,693.61	\$0.00

11g. Total

Table 31 – Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities

Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities	2018	2019	2020
	\$0.00	\$473,148.60	\$0.00