1	
2	
3	CONSOLIDATED PLAN PUBLIC HEARING
4	
5	
6	SPONSORED BY DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE IN
7	SUPPORT OF MONTANA'S FIVE-YEAR CONSOLIDATED PLAN
8	
9	
10	
11	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
12	
13	Robert Gaudin, Facilitor
14	
15	
16	Sleep Inn Motel Conference Room
17	Miles City, Montana
18	
19	May 22, 2014
20	8:00 a.m.
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	WEBINAR PARTICIPANTS
6	
7	
8	DONNA HOUSTON
9	The Center for Children & Families
10	Billings, Montana
11	
12	ED MEECE
13	City Manager, City of Livingston, Montana
14	
15	NANCY HART
16	Executive Director
17	Missoula Housing Authority, Missoula, Montana
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	8:00 a.m.
3	MR. BRUMFIELD: Good morning.
4	Thank you guys for all getting up so
5	early after I'm sure being out all hours of the
6	night last night.
7	So we are here at our Consolidated Plan
8	breakfast and hearing and presentation and all
9	of that good stuff.
10	A couple of just housekeeping things.
11	We are doing this via a Webinar also,
12	and we have several folks on, so when you're
13	talking, please use a microphone. There os no
14	exceptions. You won't be heard if you are not
15	talking into a microphone.
16	For folks that are on the Webinar, if
17	you could raise your hand or somehow get our
18	attention if you have a comment or would like to
19	ask a question, that would be really great.
20	Also, on the Webinar, *2 will mute your
21	phone as well as unmute your phone.

22 So if you're are not talking, or you're 23 off shuffling papers or doing whatever you are doing, please mute your phone. It's very 24 25 helpful. BIG SKY REPORTING - FRANCES L. MOCK (406)248-4064 Fax:(406)256-5525 E-Mail:fran848@bresnan.net 2308 Interlachen Circle Billings, Montana 59105 4 1 The other thing I'd like to be remind 2 folks is to sign in. I think we might have a few staff. If you haven't, they can come around 3 with sign-in sheets. 4 5 If you have not signed in to this hearing this morning, we need an official record 6 7 of that. Please do so. Signing into the conference is not good enough. 8 9 So, we're going to make you work for 10 your breakfast this morning. 11 I also wanted to remind folks, we've 12 got a couple of surveys that are out there in connection with the Consolidated Plan. This 13 14 billboard up here shows those two surveys. So 15 you can go up -- what are those things called? 16 THE AUDIENCE: QR codes. 17 MR. BRUMFIELD: QR codes, yeah.

So, I need to really get with it, don't

18

19 I?

- If you do your QR code on it, it will
 take you right to it. I don't think they're
 long or extensive surveys, so we really would
 appreciate you guys doing it.
 The first one is a Fair Housing survey,
 and the second is a -- I didn't write it down.

 BIG SKY REPORTING FRANCES L. M.
- BIG SKY REPORTING FRANCES L. MOCK (406)248-4064 Fax:(406)256-5525 E-Mail:fran848@bresnan.net 2308 Interlachen Circle Billings, Montana 59105

- 1 AUDIENCE:: Community Development
- 2 survey.
- 3 MR. BRUMFIELD: Oh, Community
- 4 Development survey.
- 5 So that would be awesome.
- 6 And then I just wanted to remind folks,
- 7 too, and this is for this morning as well as our
- 8 next kind of nuts-to-bolts planning session, as
- 9 well as the whole conference. We're going to
- 10 post all of the presentations on the
- 11 housingmt.gov website.
- So, you know, we probably won't have it
- done by the end of the week, but hopefully
- 14 sometime next week, we will have these
- 15 presentations.
- 16 I've had several questions about, "Hey,
- 17 you know, could I take a look at Rick's

- 18 presentation again", or something. So those
- 19 things will be out there, and I just wanted to
- 20 let you know that is a resource for us.
- So, with that, it is indeed my pleasure
- 22 to introduce Rob Gaudin from Western Economic
- 23 Services.
- Rob, I've known Rob for years and
- 25 years. Gosh, I don't even know, I think I met

- 1 you back in '95, when I started at the Board of
- 2 Housing.
- But, Rob has worked with us and helped
- 4 us with the Consolidated Plan, you know, on and
- 5 off for a number years, and he's become really
- 6 active in what we are doing now, especially with
- 7 our Five-Year plan.
- 8 So, it's my pleasure to introduce Rob
- 9 and let him lead our discussion.
- Rob, welcome.
- 11 MR. GAUDIN: Thank you very much,
- 12 Bruce.
- I do want to say that actually my
- 14 firm and myself have been working for Commerce
- 15 for 28 years. Over that period of time, we've

- 16 learned a lot together.
- But I also want to add, I'm actually
- 18 from Hamilton, and my first job was working for
- 19 one of our former governors, so I have some, you
- 20 know, relationship with others throughout the
- 21 state. So, it's indeed a pleasure to be back
- 22 once again.
- You know, the firm, Western Economic
- 24 Services, began really right here in Montana,
- 25 and it's kind of a homegrown thing. Now we have

- 1 clients from Boston to LA, you know, from here
- 2 to Mississippi, to New Mexico and all around the
- 3 U.S. It's approximately 28 states.
- 4 So, I want to thank you very much for
- 5 supporting me in the very beginning, and here we
- 6 are once again working together.
- 7 The idea behind the Consolidated Plan,
- 8 you know, 20 years ago, HUD decided to
- 9 consolidate, you know, several programs into one
- 10 application process. The idea was to make -- at
- 11 least in theory, make this just a little bit
- more efficient.
- Now, with one application and one

- 14 public review process, and so on, and to a large
- 15 extent, that has been true.
- The HOME program, the National
- 17 Affordable Housing Act of 1990, kind of made
- 18 this Consolidated Plan and process a little bit,
- 19 you know, all about housing, so that's really
- 20 kind of important, and less so in terms of the
- 21 recording and planning for community
- development. But, they are also both very
- 23 important.
- The kinds of things we have to do are
- 25 the Five-Year Strategy, as Bruce noted, and

- 1 Annual Action Plan. There's also a Fair Housing
- 2 piece, as indicated by the survey.
- 3 You know, the Fair Housing piece is
- 4 important. A few years ago, there was a county
- 5 that got sued for not certifying that they
- 6 they're affirmatively furthering Fair Housing,
- 7 that in the end, they lost their Annual Action
- 8 Plan funding.
- 9 So it's something that I'd ask us to
- 10 pay a little bit closer attention to these
- 11 days.

12	But ultimately, the planning process,
13	we really want to take a look how to gauge what
14	our needs are, what do we think our housing and
15	community development needs are; how do we
16	prioritize them; what do you think we ought to
17	do about it?
18	Ultimately, we're trying to figure out
19	how we're going to spend our money, right? How
20	are we going to allocate them geographically, by
21	kinds of project, kinds of topics?
22	So these are the things that HUD wants
23	us to see in terms of a five-year or longer term
24	planning horizon.
25	Of course, you know, there's some

- 1 national objectives that we need to have
- 2 organized in a certain way, you know, providing
- 3 decent housing, a suitable living environment,
- 4 which is largely infrastructure, and economic
- 5 development, you know, good economic
- 6 opportunities.
- 7 And the idea, also, is to have some
- 8 outcome, that the instructions are to have this
- 9 planning system, have some objectives and a set

- of measurable outcomes. 10 11 How did we enhance our communities? 12 How do we sustain their growth in the future? 13 How many people were able to benefit from these 14 programs in the way in which we implemented our 15 programs? And, how we're going to create and maintain affordable housing, whether that's 16 17 through redevelopment or rehab or new 18 construction or what have you. 19 And over the years, we've had various
- 20 levels of funding, which largely in the last few 21 years, unfortunately, have gone down, but it's 22 still, you know, many millions of dollars from 23 which we can tap into. 24 Our idea in conducting this analysis is

quantitative and qualitative.

BIG SKY REPORTING - FRANCES L. MOCK (406)248-4064 Fax:(406)256-5525 E-Mail:fran848@bresnan.net

10

2308 Interlachen Circle Billings, Montana 59105

The quantitative stuff, we're trying to
measure relationships. See how fast we're
growing and where we're growing where we're

declining and how fast we're declining.

- 5 Today's session is the first of two
- 6 public input meetings. We will have another one
- 7 in July.

4

- 8 You, too, have a responsibility to this
- 9 process, and I'm hoping you're all here for
- 10 this, that it's like, "Yea, public involvement."
- 11 It's your opinion that matters. So the idea is
- 12 to just speak up.
- Okay, your job is to interrupt me,
- 14 because I'll just keep on yapping and yapping
- and yapping until you say something, and it's
- 16 really about you. You know, what do you think?
- 17 Has this analysis gone not far enough? It's
- 18 gone too far? Have we missed something?
- 19 If you have a clarification, you know,
- 20 this is really an opportunity for all of you to
- 21 participate in the direction of the
- 22 decision-making and to influence how we might go
- 23 about attacking our problems.
- 24 Development of strategies in response
- 25 to the needs that we're seeing kind of come out

- 1 of both the quantitative and qualitative data,
- 2 as well as the public input.
- 3 So, I want to emphasize, this is about
- 4 you, so if you have something to say, please do
- 5 it.

6 If you have something to ask -- if 7 you're anything like me, it's a brilliant question, brilliant. What was it? Why didn't I 8 ask that question? So, please just speak right 9 10 up. 11 You know, if you have your hand raised 12 over here, and I don't see it, just blurt it out. So that's really -- oh, you want to say 13 14 something? Just kidding. 15 See, it's like an auction. Don't raise 16 your hand unless you really mean it. 17 (General laughter.) 18 Okay, the kinds of things we need to 19 do, of course, just to iterate that, the 20 Five-Year Strategy, the Annual Action Plan, the 21 Analysis Impediments, I may say it as "AI" a few 22 times during this presentation.

BIG SKY REPORTING - FRANCES L. MOCK (406)248-4064 Fax:(406)256-5525 E-Mail:fran848@bresnan.net 2308 Interlachen Circle Billings, Montana 59105

12

But later on, we kind of all have to be

responsible for what we said and did and report

1 And the kinds of things we have to

back to HUD in the Annual Report.

- 2 address, it's not just housing. We have to talk
- 3 about homelessness, community development, you

23

24

- 4 know, water and sewer, streets and roads and
- 5 runoff. You know, economic development,
- 6 community and public facilities.
- 7 There's a host of things that can
- 8 conceivably be addressed in this, as well as
- 9 both non-housing and service needs of some of
- 10 our special populations.
- And all of this needs to be documented
- 12 in such a way as it's (a), understandable, and
- 13 (b), HUD says "Great job", and we're not in
- 14 trouble.
- 15 So what I want to do is just kind of
- 16 walk you through kind of where we're at. We
- 17 began just a few months ago. We're certainly
- 18 not done really until the end of the year.
- But the idea here is to talk a little
- 20 bit about some of our demographic trends and
- 21 some of our economic influences, what our
- 22 housing situation looks like, as well as, at
- 23 least right now, today, some preliminary
- 24 findings of the housing and community
- 25 development survey.

13

1 Remember, there are two of them. And

- 2 the analysis of housing is slightly different,
- 3 and that will be presented at a different time
- 4 later on this summer.
- 5 So let's just kind of look at some
- 6 things.
- 7 Here is some data from the Census
- 8 Bureau about our population, you know, its
- 9 racial and ethnic breakup. You know, we see the
- 10 population rising reasonably well, 9.7%.
- In the last couple of years -- I don't
- 12 have it here now -- we are over 1.015 million.
- 13 Yea! Made it past a million, so great.
- But some populations, while we are
- 15 still predominantly white, the share is
- 16 declining a little bit, and other populations
- 17 are growing more quickly.
- You know, we see Hispanics, you know,
- 19 jump 58%. Still a small group,, but this is
- 20 true not just here, but most other places
- 21 throughout the U.S.
- You know, American Indians are rising
- as well; a little bit faster than statewide
- 24 average, 11.6%.
- Now, where people choose to live is a

- 1 concern to HUD. You know, sometimes people
- 2 choose to live because that's what they want,
- 3 and other times, people are not allowed. And
- 4 this is kind of the element to the Fair Housing
- 5 study.
- 6 And here we have just a geographic
- 7 distribution of American Indian populations,
- 8 kind of concentrations by census tract. Of
- 9 course, Tribal lands gives us kind of a unique
- 10 situation where you have high concentrations.
- Now, throughout all these maps, we're
- 12 going to have the same colored sequences. You
- 13 know, the lightest yellow is from zero up to,
- 14 you know, a certain average. And then beyond
- 15 that, statewide average, 10 percentage points.
- 16 The little bit more green color.
- 17 Above 10 percentage points, in this
- 18 case, beyond 16.3%, is an overconcentration
- 19 meaning, hmm, a disproportionate share.
- Now, of course, with the institutional
- 21 structure and Tribal lands, and so on, we're
- 22 going to see that. But outside those areas, you
- 23 know, why has it occurred that we have these
- 24 darker green.
- Now, when we get to other races or

BIG SKY REPORTING - FRANCES L. MOCK

(406)248-4064 Fax:(406)256-5525 E-Mail:fran848@bresnan.net 2308 Interlachen Circle Billings, Montana 59105

15

1	other ethnicities or poverty and various other
2	considerations, when we look at the Fair Housing
3	study, we're going to look at lending and how
4	many people get denied, the same color sequence
5	is going to be working. Also talking about
6	where we have problems - overconcentration and
7	disproportionate shares.
8	For example, here we have only one
9	census tract that's just barely
10	overconcentrated, and that's just by West
11	Yellowstone area.
12	Nevertheless, Hispanics are a growing
13	population, but we don't have significant heavy
14	concentrations throughout the state, at least in
15	terms of census tracts.
16	You know, when we begin looking at our
17	age, right, wow, 5 to 19; 35 to 54, over the
18	decade, they declined. That's a little bit
19	different, with the 9.7% growth.
20	What I find remarkable, and it's many
21	places throughout the U.S. have this now, the 55
22	to 64 are growing really rapidly.
23	If you're thinking about housing, this

24 is probably going to be "aging in place". Do we

1		. 1	services,		1
	mora	towarde	CATTILCAC	TIOIL	Znow
	1110715	IUW ai us	SELVILES.	V ()	KIIU)W

- 2 This is a significant challenge for
- 3 people who want to age in place have some
- 4 freedoms that they've enjoyed throughout their
- 5 entire life and persist throughout their older
- 6 ages. Even as well, 65 or older are also
- 7 growing rather rapidly.
- 8 If we drill down a little bit into the
- 9 older citizens and their age groups, we see the
- 10 early 60s growing very fast, but 85 or older
- 11 jumping 30%.
- Granted, these are small groups in
- 13 comparison to the entire state, but small
- 14 changes in these groups also mean that the
- 15 demands for kinds of housing products, and for
- 16 those over 85, housing with services due to the
- 17 likelihood of some ambulatory and other
- 18 disabilities.
- 19 Some difficulties with activities of
- 20 daily living, you know, that will influence the
- 21 demand for certain housing products. It will
- 22 also influence the demand for where people might

- 23 want to reside or move to. Maybe move back into
- 24 town a little bit so they're closer to other
- 25 services. These are all thing I expect to see

- 1 emerging.
- 2 You know, interestingly enough,
- 3 household size continues to fall here. In the
- 4 persons per household, we have huge number of
- 5 one- and two-person households growing quite
- 6 rapidly. Four-person households declining. But
- 7 we're beginning to see a resurgence in very
- 8 large households.
- 9 Generally speaking, these are new
- 10 residents to the state with the larger families,
- 11 you know, six and seven persons. I expect,
- 12 generally speaking, these are minority, racial
- 13 and ethnic populations that have larger
- 14 families. Sometime they immigrate from outside
- 15 this country to here. But, we do see a rising
- 16 demand in that group for very large bedroom size
- 17 housing units.
- 18 JIM MORTON: I have a question.
- 19 MR. GAUDIN: Yes, sir.
- MR. MORTON: For years, we were -- many

- 21 of us were using the track example on your
- 22 discussion about growth and demographics
- 23 regarding age. So that that 5 to 19, and then
- 24 we would lose population, and then it would come
- 25 back up as we got into the 55 and 65.

- 1 Is that still true within that analogy
- 2 in the discussion, and that it's always been in
- 3 that 35 to 55 we're losing folks, and that's
- 4 often our professionals and home buyers?
- 5 MR. GAUDIN: I'm not sure exactly.
- 6 Your question is, why are we doing that?
- 7 MR. MORTON: No, is that drop still the
- 8 same, from your --
- 9 MR. GAUDIN: Well, as time proceeds,
- 10 that track will move forward. But what we do
- see are people, if you will, empty nesters, you
- 12 know, coming back, and that is rising rapidly,
- 13 that particular group.
- And as they get into their 55 to 64 or
- 15 65 and older, the types of housing products that
- 16 they are going to demand, because it's a much
- 17 larger group than we have seen in the past, is
- 18 likely to influence the marketplace.

19	Other questions?				
20	(No response.)				
21	MR. GAUDIN: Okay, we have a fairly				
22	strong population growth. That's great.				
23	In some areas, it's not growing very				
24	4 well. Others areas, such as here in eastern				
25	Montana, it's growing quite a bit better,, but				
(4	BIG SKY REPORTING - FRANCES L. MOCK 06)248-4064 Fax:(406)256-5525 E-Mail:fran848@bresnan.net 2308 Interlachen Circle Billings, Montana 59105				
	19				
1	the statewide average, we do see some				
2	significant growth.				
3	So what's been going on with our				
4	economy?				
5	You know, these are statewide				
6	statistics. Nevertheless, this is labor force,				
7	and labor force is a count of people who are				
8	either working or looking for work. And that's				
9	the top line - you're working or looking for				
10	work, and the bottom are those working.				
11	What we see, of course, in 2008, a				
12	significant decline, you know, due to the				
13	national recession, but, we see some recovery.				
14	When we look at the unemployment rate,				
15	which is really the difference between that				
16	original red and green line, we see that it				

- 17 doesn't look so bad when compared to the nation,
- 18 you know. Significantly less unemployment rate,
- 19 where the nation was nearly 10%, and we're still
- 20 lower. That's really a nice thing.
- 21 On the other hand, I also like to use
- 22 Bureau of Economic Analysis data in counting
- 23 employment statistics, and this is a count of
- 24 jobs. If you work one job, you're are counted
- 25 once here. If you work two jobs, well, you're

- 1 counted twice,, and three, three times, and so
- 2 on.
- 3 Over time we've seen some strong
- 4 growth. Other times, a little bit lackluster.
- 5 Right around, you know, the 1980s
- 6 through '88 or '90, practically no growth. But
- 7 this last time, we lost jobs, a fairly
- 8 significant amount, you know, around 21,000
- 9 jobs.
- Now, we've returned most of them. We
- are a couple thousand shy of that. So we're
- 12 returning our growth, but it was a significant
- 13 difference in number of jobs.
- 14 The other reason I like BEA data is

- 15 because it has attached to it income, you know,
- 16 income you've earned at a job, as well unearned
- 17 income sources, such as property income,
- 18 dividends, interest, rent, and so on.
- 19 I'd like to take a look at those
- 20 things. The income data is derived from
- 21 administrative records, a fancy way to say IRS.
- 22 And we also had employment count of, you know,
- 23 agricultural workers and sole proprietors and
- 24 people who are not reported in the Bureau of
- 25 Labor statistics, so we get a complete count of

- 1 earnings and employment.
- 2 And I'll just say the earnings divided
- 3 by the employment here, and compare us to the
- 4 national average, and it's kind of like a
- 5 "wow",, I mean, we're significantly different.
- 6 We got close in '73, you know, like a
- 7 difference of 1700 bucks, a little bit less.
- 8 But, oh, my, really haven't done so well. We're
- 9 recovering.
- I mean at one point, it was about the
- early 2000s, that decade, we were like \$17,000
- 12 less per job than the national average. We have

- 13 closed it a little bit.
- But if you just take these numbers that
- are on the far right, you know, the 54,000 or
- 16 the 41,000, just divided by 2000, that's roughly
- 17 the dollar rate in which you want to have your
- 18 jobs.
- Okay, we are looking at 20 bucks an
- 20 hour for these jobs versus the nation, you know,
- 21 like 27. So if you're an economic development
- 22 person, you want to at least make it 27, try to
- 23 get up to the average. Just kind of planning
- 24 there.
- 25 So we have some work ahead of us to get

- 1 the entire state's average to move forward. I
- 2 know there are some good-paying jobs out here
- 3 now in the eastern part of the state.
- 4 If we add the rest of the forms of
- 5 income unearned or transfer of payments, and so
- 6 on, divided by population, we get per capita
- 7 income, it looks a little bit better.
- 8 I mean, in the early Seventies, we were
- 9 really close, only 1,000 less, and we're a lot
- 10 less around 2000, but we have closed it some in

- 11 the last few years. So that's really great, you
- 12 know. Today we're like 4600 bucks instead of
- 13 maybe 8500 less we saw in 2001.
- 14 So we have some challenges, and have
- 15 had some challenges, regarding what we get
- 16 paid.
- 17 You know, our population is growing.
- 18 People in poverty are also growing. You know,
- 19 fortunately the poverty rate hasn't changed as
- 20 much as it has elsewhere, from 14.6 to 14.8%.
- 21 That's not significantly different in percentage
- 22 terms. It's still quite a number of people in
- 23 poverty.
- Remember, part of our task in front of
- 25 us with the Consolidated Plan is how are we

- 1 going to serve those households who are lower
- 2 income? You know, whether that's through
- 3 infrastructure development, you know, water and
- 4 sewer, economic development, as well as
- 5 housing.
- When we look at where this poverty is
- 7 concentrated, again using the same themes from
- 8 the color schemes I mentioned earlier, we do see

	9	areas of	concentrated	poverty.	Some a	are	nea
--	---	----------	--------------	----------	--------	-----	-----

- 10 areas that have Native American trust lands, but
- 11 many other areas in the state also have some
- 12 poverty.
- Now, I want to say something about the
- 14 2012 American Community Survey, which is what
- 15 this data is. The Census Bureau has an annual
- 16 survey. It's usually for communities of 60,000
- 17 or more, and it's not really by census tract.
- Well, they have a three-year rolling
- 19 average to get it down to communities of 20,000
- 20 or more, and then they have a five-year rolling
- 21 average, and that's what this data is.
- So, eastern Montana, this is a
- 23 five-year rolling average, and some of it is
- 24 from earlier years. So, as we proceed through
- 25 the future, some of this stuff will change.

- 1 You know, in light of our expanding
- 2 population and some of our difficulties in our
- 3 economy, particularly our earnings, how has this
- 4 affected housing production?
- 5 Here is just some census data, 2000 and
- 6 the 2010 information. We see, you know, the

- 7 population went up 9.7%. Here, housing units
- 8 went up 17%.
- 9 But households, when we're looking at
- sizes of households, those went up 14%. So
- 11 housing production went up faster than the
- 12 number of households were formed.
- We see this a little bit. Owner
- 14 occupied dropped a little bit. Home ownership
- 15 slipped over the decade. Renter-occupied homes
- 16 went up.
- 17 I'm a little bit more concerned about
- 18 vacant housing units, which jumped 35% over the
- 19 period, you know, going from 54,000 to roughly
- 20 73,000. So what happened with that? You know,
- 21 we had more production than we needed if you
- 22 just say one-to-one household formation and
- 23 housing production.
- But those that were for rent -- excuse
- 25 me, vacant, they had certain types of things.

- 1 For rent went up. So, there were more rental
- 2 units available.
- For sale also went up. It went up 7%.
- 4 So other vacant units went up by 30%, 33%, to be

- 5 precise.
- 6 Yes?
- 7 MS. RUDE: I'm sorry.
- 8 Maureen Rude, for the record.
- 9 So, Rob, a lot of these numbers are
- 10 from the 2010 census, when things were kind of
- 11 at their worst, so how do you adjust when you do
- 12 all of this analysis for the fact that things
- 13 are rapidly increasing now, and the market is
- 14 really picking up.
- 15 You know, I look at a lot of these
- 16 numbers, and I look at 2010 being, you know,
- 17 that was kind of the worst of the worst in our
- 18 economy.
- MR. GAUDIN: So your question is, how
- 20 do I measure current success?
- MS. RUDE: How do you measure against
- 22 the 2000 census -- 2010, given that that was
- 23 taken at kind of the worst time?
- MR. GAUDIN: Four years ago, right.
- MS. RUDE: Right.

- 1 MR. GAUDIN: We do have building permit
- 2 data. I realize not every jurisdiction of the

- 3 state issues permits, but it's a proxy.
- 4 And we also had -- which is to be
- 5 presented in a few minutes.
- 6 And we also have information from the
- 7 surveys on how things are going, the cost of
- 8 building. So there are other indicators, also.
- 9 I mean, none of these things should be
- 10 the one piece of item that you make a decision
- 11 on. You know, your decisions need to be based
- 12 on a variety of factors. All of them, including
- 13 the Census Bureau, has some error embedded into
- 14 it.
- Permit data is, you know, for
- 16 jurisdictions that don't have permit process.
- 17 You know, each of these factors should have a
- 18 role in decision-making, but it shouldn't be the
- 19 sole factor.
- I am, though, concerned about "other
- 21 vacant" that it went up 33%. Other vacant
- 22 represents housing units that are not for sale,
- 23 not for rent. They're simply not available on
- 24 the marketplace.
- Some of those are those homes which

- 1 fell into foreclosure, which are vacant and have
- 2 yet to have been resold or are not occupied, and
- 3 so on.
- 4 So, it's not only just pioneer housing
- 5 that is dilapidated that nobody will choose to
- 6 live in, but it's is still standing and was
- 7 counted by the Census Bureau. So, we have two
- 8 types of issues within that category.
- 9 MS. RICE: Sheela Rice.
- Would that also include vacation homes
- 11 that weren't occupied at the time of the
- 12 census?
- 13 MR. GAUDIN: There is a special
- 14 category for that called "seasonal, recreational
- or occasional use", yes.
- 16 THE AUDIENCE: Can you repeat the
- 17 question? Sorry.
- 18 MR. GAUDIN: Oh, yes.
- 19 JENNIFER OLSON: So, the question was
- 20 whether or not this included vacation homes that
- 21 were unoccupied at the time of the census.
- MR. GAUDIN: And the answer is yes, it
- 23 does. That group is large for the state of
- 24 Montana.
- 25 MR. O'NEIL: Rob, just so -- Michael

BIG SKY REPORTING - FRANCES L. MOCK (406)248-4064 Fax:(406)256-5525 E-Mail:fran848@bresnan.net

1	O'Neil for the record.
2	Just a question about the big uptick in
3	seasonal, recreational and occasional use. Big
4	jump there.
5	Is there any way of tracking that to
6	income? Which seem to suggest income, a certain
7	income inequality, the ability to can you
8	track any of this data between housing units and
9	income?
10	MR. GAUDIN: Because it is the census,
11	that each data element is not directly linked to
12	another, so I'm not able to look at income
13	directly related to that.
14	But I am concerned about the growth in

- 15 "other vacant", particularly if we have
- 16 communities that are not growing, and others
- 17 that are growing more quickly, then for those
- 18 communities that are not growing will be likely
- 19 to have continued increases in their "other
- 20 vacant".
- Clearly, though, what people want, and
- 22 the share of that market is people want
- 23 single-family homes, even if they're rented.
- 24 You know, it's gone from 69 to 72%.
- Sure, the totals -- I need to offer a

BIG SKY REPORTING - FRANCES L. MOCK (406)248-4064 Fax: (406)256-5525 E-Mail:fran848@bresnan.net

2308 Interlachen Circle Billings, Montana 59105

29

1	technical note about the census data versus the
2	American Community Survey data.
3	The American Community Survey data,
4	it's not precisely correct to measure the number
5	of units, but rather the distribution from the
6	census to the ACS.
7	So you can talk about the increase. It
8	went from the 69% to 72%, but it would be less
9	precise to say that the number of units in the
10	2000 census. That's a long form
11	1 and 6. It was also a sample. So you're
12	comparing two samples that were not collected
13	exactly the same way. Just a technical there.
14	But here are Maureen's permits.
15	Granted, again, this is not electrical
16	authorities or building permits. Electrical
17	permits would be a more complete measurement
18	but I don't have those today.
19	But we do see since 2010 or 2009, there
20	has been an uptick in building, you know. So we
21	collapsed significantly from 2004 or '5, but not
22	as significantly as other jurisdictions

23 throughout the country.

- I mean, I have seen some turnaround,
- 25 but this particular turnaround is good. And,

- 1 the consistent level of rentals that are being
- 2 built is really interesting, especially if we
- 3 return to a prior level, you know, roughly 500
- 4 or 600 permitted apartments.
- 5 The challenge for us, however, this is
- 6 single-family permits versus the value of
- 7 construction. The challenge we have is that
- 8 even with massive declines in permitting, or the
- 9 level of production, we had next to no real
- 10 changes in prices, you know. I mean, now they
- 11 are exceeding 200,000. It's a substantial
- 12 number.
- Just the value of construction -- now
- we're talking about the nails and the boards and
- 15 the labor and the concrete. We're not talking
- 16 about the lot or the infrastructure that goes
- 17 into building the lot. So, that's on top of
- 18 this. So, just to get affordable housing is a
- 19 tremendous challenge.
- And, again, just taking some older
- 21 census data, sometimes we're in a comparative

- 22 ACS, given all the problems it has. We see, you
- know, rental rates going up maybe 25%, but we
- see housing going up nearly 100%.
- On top of that, we do have challenges

- 1 when we look at cost burdens. I'm assuming you
- 2 all know what cost burden represents. That's 30
- 3 to 50% of your income spent on housing. Severe
- 4 cost burden is more than half of your income
- 5 spent on housing, you know.
- 6 The difference between 2000 and 2012
- 7 are significant increases in the cost burdens
- 8 for every one. And severe cost burdens, I mean,
- 9 more than 40% of all renters have a cost burden,
- 10 even the rental rates, median rates only allow
- 11 up 25%. Homeowners face significant burdens as
- well.
- One of the things we need to identify
- 14 for the Consolidated Plan is something called
- 15 "unmet housing need" or those households which
- 16 are facing housing problems.
- Housing problems can be overcrowding,
- 18 or severe overcrowding, or lack of kitchen
- 19 facilities, or a full set of plumbing

- 20 facilities.
- General speaking, all those other
- 22 concerns actually have ebbed over the decade,.
- 23 And the one thing that has contributed to a
- 24 significantly larger set of "unmet housing
- 25 needs" are costs, housing costs. Cost burdens.

- 1 So, those are the real key housing
- 2 problems we're facing.
- 3 I want to switch a little bit just for
- 4 one slide to something about homeless
- 5 populations.
- 6 Now, I got this data from the Montana
- 7 Homeless Count online. It's continuum of care
- 8 information. And the far right column, the
- 9 count of respondents and family does not, total
- 10 the count of respondents from the other. Don't
- 11 ask me why. They're two tables. But, what we
- see is significant increases in these counts.
- Now, in 2012 when the Hearth Act came
- 14 into effect, there was a change in the way
- 15 homeless were counted, that it included "at
- 16 risk" populations.
- 17 And I don't know how to take the "at

18 risk" out of here to keep a consistent count, so 19 we kind of have a different methodology at work. 20 Please correct me if I'm mistaken on 21 this anybody, who is --22 Yes? 23 MR. BUZZAS: Bob Buzzas, Montana Continuum of Care. 24 25 Well, not to go into it too much, but a BIG SKY REPORTING - FRANCES L. MOCK (406)248-4064 Fax:(406)256-5525 E-Mail:fran848@bresnan.net 2308 Interlachen Circle Billings, Montana 59105 33 couple of things very quickly. 2 One, these numbers include "at risk". You can focus just on HUD defined homeless on 3 the website, and then --4 5 MR. GAUDIN: Yeah, I'm not sure where 6 you get the two different columns from, but respondents represent households, either single, 7 8 individual households, or family households. 9 MR. BUZZAS: These are homeless, but they are a collection of people who are 10 together; is that correct? So, it's --11 12 MR. GAUDIN: Yeah, a family --13 MR. BUZZAS: A one house household, if

MR. BUZZAS: Yeah, a "family" is

you will.

14

- 16 defined as an adult and a child.
- 17 And so adult households of two adults
- 18 are counted as individuals.
- But the important thing is, you're
- 20 looking at respondents here as opposed to
- 21 households, and that number is quite a bit
- 22 inflated over the actual HUD defined homes.
- MR. GAUDIN: Yeah, that would be my
- 24 conclusion, too.
- 25 So how do I find the count -- I mean,

- 1 if I understand this correctly, you just stated
- 2 that the "at risk" are included in the last few
- 3 years to the count of homelessness, but prior to
- 4 that, they were not included; is that correct?
- 5 MR. BUZZAS: No, we've always kept
- 6 those separate.
- 7 It's simply a matter of on the website,
- 8 you have to click an option that says -- I think
- 9 it says "HUD defined homes".
- MR. GAUDIN: Well, I didn't find that
- 11 there.
- But if I could talk to you afterward, I
- 13 would like to find that out. So, thank you very

- 14 much.
- The last piece of information drawn
- 16 from the survey, one of the first questions --
- 17 is there a question?
- MR. O'NEIL: Rob, I wouldn't want to
- 19 miss an over-technical question which can be
- 20 fixed.
- 21 The fact is in terms of counts over
- 22 time in the homeless population, one emerging
- 23 trend in Montana that I think is important for
- 24 folks to understand is the number of people who
- 25 are homeless living in families is a greater

- 1 increasing number of the homeless in Montana.
- 2 And I think that has major policy implication.
- 3 MR. GAUDIN: Thank you.
- 4 MR. O'NEIL: Michael O'Neil, for the
- 5 record.
- 6 MR. GAUDIN: Thank you, Mike.
- 7 The last thing I want to talk about a
- 8 little bit is just some preliminary findings
- 9 from our Housing and Community Development
- 10 survey. One of first questions is just, well,
- 11 gosh, how many folks have benefitted from our

- 12 programs?
- Respondents, not quite 56%, say "yes".
- 14 Some "don't know", two missing.
- 15 I like that, because of 192, only two
- 16 missed that question. That was the first
- 17 question. More skipped other questions as we
- 18 proceeded through the survey.
- But I do want to just give you some
- 20 notion about what people feel. And your choice
- 21 this morning is to say, "I don't like that", and
- tell me about it, or "It should be different",
- and tell me about it, or "I think it's right on
- 24 the money", and tell me why you think so.
- So, what I have done with the first

- 1 couple of slides here is just rated the selected
- 2 housing activities that people could rate.
- 3 You know, we used the HUD's rating
- 4 scale. "No need", means we're definitely not
- 5 going to do anything about that.
- 6 And "low need" means, well, if we can
- 7 get some money, but probably not. But could
- 8 be. We might do something about that.
- 9 "Medium need" is we really wish we

11 "High need" means we will.

10

could.

- So, the 192 people who participated --
- 13 some people skipped these questions, that's the
- 14 missing -- but everybody else give it a ranking.
- Now, I sorted these by the frequency of
- 16 "high need" just to get a sense of how our
- 17 people are feeling about certain activities.
- The first top three are "rental",
- 19 "rental", "rental", right? Is this what is
- 20 really needed most right now, even though we saw
- 21 from the 2000 census -- 2012 ACS, that the
- 22 increase was for single-family housing. Maybe
- 23 they are renting single-family houses.
- "Construction of new for sale" is
- 25 further on down the list.

BIG SKY REPORTING - FRANCES L. MOCK (406)248-4064 Fax:(406)256-5525 E-Mail:fran848@bresnan.net 2308 Interlachen Circle Billings, Montana 59105

- 1 And as we go down, "energy efficiency",
- 2 "retrofits", "rental assistance". Down here,
- 3 "retrofitting for seniors". You know, these
- 4 are all kind of dribbling off, more towards
- 5 getting towards "medium need".
- 6 The remainder of the housing
- 7 activities, "preservation of existing subsidized

- 8 housing", not very many people are necessarily
- 9 saying "high need". And this goes, "first time
- 10 home buyer" is down below halfway down through
- 11 the center of the pack.
- 12 You know, "mixed use", "housing
- 13 demolition", "home ownership in communities of
- 14 color" at the bottom.
- Now, this is an opportunity for you to
- 16 talk about these preliminarily, just kind of
- 17 point in time snapshot for the survey.
- Oh, there was a comment right there.
- Oh, you're just scratching your head.
- 20 Sorry. I thought I was going to get --
- Yes ma'am.
- MS. DEMARTINE: My name is Sophie
- 23 Demartine. I have a question.
- 24 Who was the survey sent out to?
- MR. GAUDIN: I believe that the survey

- 1 was sent out to the Consolidated Plan
- 2 stakeholder list and others -- others who are
- 3 listed within the Department of Commerce
- 4 websites and interested parties.
- 5 MR. OLSON: Jennifer Olson, for the

- 6 record.
- We have a -- at the Department of
- 8 Commerce, a list, a compilation of the
- 9 Consolidated Plan stakeholders, which includes
- 10 all of the contacts for our cities, towns,
- 11 counties, HRDCs, any nonprofit organizations
- 12 that have been previously involved with the
- 13 Consolidated Plan, engineers, architects, any
- 14 grant administrators, any previously funded
- 15 entities, that may be -- you know, were somewhat
- 16 indirectly involved. Any CHDOs.
- 17 It's a large number of people. I think
- 18 the list is around 1500 individuals.
- But if you are interested in being part
- 20 of the list, the surveys are over there, but we
- 21 can always add you to the list by emailing any
- 22 one of us at doccdd@mt.gov.
- MS. DEMARTINE: I was a respondent
- 24 already.
- MS. OLSON: Thank you for your

- 1 response.
- 2 MR. GAUDIN: Certainly while we're
- 3 fielding the next question, I want to emphasize

- 4 that the survey is open to anyone. And if you
- 5 get a copy of the link for it, please forward it
- 6 to your friends and family. "Framily", I guess
- 7 it's called.
- 8 Yes, sir?
- 9 MR. REED: John Reed, J.R.A.
- I don't know if it's okay to go back a
- 11 few slides. I mean, not that you need to.
- MR. GAUDIN: No, we may not do that. I
- 13 don't know how to do that.
- MR. REED: You mentioned the growth of
- 15 the active adult population in Montana.
- Do you have any -- you made a copy of
- 17 this, I don't think I heard, but do you have any
- 18 information that talks to the disproportionate
- 19 growth, if you will, of active adults in
- 20 different regions?
- 21 For instance, of course, in sheer
- 22 numbers you're going to have more senior numbers
- 23 in Billings than you will in a small town.
- 24 But in terms of disproportionate
- 25 growth, if you will, of the senior communities,

40

1 do you have any information as far as kind of

hot spots we might want to be looking in? 2 3 MR. GAUDIN: You know, that may be one thing that we have thus far overlooked. We have 4 been taking a statewide view, and it's an 5 6 awfully large state, you know, just too many 7 differences. 8 So that's something we might want to 9 talk about internally some more, but I appreciate your comment. 10 11 MR. REED: Oh. Well, thank you. 12 MR. GAUDIN: We also asked a series of 13 questions about linking certain activities and 14 desires with housing production just to get a 15 sense about how people feel, you know, whether 16 we have certain things, like adequate water and 17 sewer, whether we want to do certain things, 18 like retain existing affordable units, kind of 19 linking actions with housing.

25 "high need", what we see here are people

BIG SKY REPORTING - FRANCES L. MOCK

And the next three slides take those --

all those concerns and blend it into one set of

Again, ranking them in terms of the

highest frequency, the largest frequency of

BIG SKY REPORTING - FRANCES L. MOCK (406)248-4064 Fax:(406)256-5525 E-Mail:fran848@bresnan.net 2308 Interlachen Circle Billings, Montana 59105

20

21

22

23

24

tables.

- 1 wishing to have "enhanced water and sewer
- 2 infrastructure to accommodate the new housing
- 3 units". "Land use regulations", you know, that
- 4 support affordable housing production.
- 5 But remember, this is -- the sentiment
- 6 is a little bit lower here. The number of "high
- 7 needs" are not as quite as high as what we saw
- 8 for, you know, rental housing.
- 9 "Comprehensive planning", "various
- 10 types of funding", tax "or other financial
- 11 incentives". Again, the sentiment begins to
- 12 drop off a little bit here.
- We have "development standards", "more
- 14 housing choice vouchers", local land use
- 15 regulations, how we go about, you know, changing
- 16 those things. Are they important to
- 17 production?
- The sentiment is just slipping a little
- 19 bit here.
- 20 "Neighborhood or community support for
- 21 housing", "density", higher housing density.
- 22 Again, that -- the need for that is kind of
- 23 beginning to look more like "medium need", not
- 24 "high need".
- The last set, more "resources for

BIG SKY REPORTING - FRANCES L. MOCK

(406)248-4064 Fax:(406)256-5525 E-Mail:fran848@bresnan.net 2308 Interlachen Circle Billings, Montana 59105

42

1	environmental remediation", "guidelines for
2	production information", "more information about
3	marketing", about housing availability. The
4	sentiments really drop fall off for those
5	things. So, the most frequent, remember, was
6	the water and sewer.
7	Because this is also Community
8	Development, I have a series of slides about
9	some of those responses.
10	The first one relates to "economic
11	development". People feel we need to "retain
12	our existing businesses", "foster businesses
13	with higher paying jobs". Well, we definitely
14	already know that's true.
15	"Address some regional transportation
16	needs" is the third most frequently voted "high
17	need".
18	But notice the difference, 72, 72, 59
19	sentiment. That's kind of a break point right
20	there. And we have a lot of the higher 58s for
21	some of these other activities.
22	"Attracting new business", "enhancing
23	access to technology". And so on.

"Expanding existing businesses". I'm

43

1	list.
2	"Help entrepreneurs get started".
3	The rest of this, again, we're dropping
4	off in positive sentiment, moving more towards
5	"medium needs", you know, "strengthening
6	support systems for economic development",
7	"cleaning up abandoned or polluted sites", and
8	so on.
9	"Provisions of venture capital",
10	really kind of dribbling down off the list.
11	"Establishing strength in trade
12	relationships". "Market 'Made in Montana'
13	products", also kind of far down the list
14	according to the current level respondents.
15	Now, if that changes, you know, these
16	results would also change. So I certainly
17	encourage all of you, if you haven't already
18	participated, think of your neighbors, maybe
19	your fellow parishioners. Reach out to others
20	who might be interested in participating in the
21	survey.

There certainly is no limit to the

- 23 number. You know, the more the merrier at this
- 24 party, as far as I am concerned.
- So, it's amazing what digital things

- 1 can help you with, and this is one. So if we
- 2 get to 1000 or 2000, that would be like totally
- 3 wonderful.
- 4 Well, 3000 right here. Do I hear
- 5 4000?
- 6 "Infrastructure needs", you know,
- 7 these things in general, and proceeding through
- 8 them, and we're getting weakening sentiment in
- 9 the "high need" category.
- Even though they are still very
- 11 important, "streets and road improvements",
- 12 "water systems", "sewer systems", those are
- 13 high, but it suddenly drops off.
- 14 Those top three, then we are down here,
- 15 "sidewalk", "water quality", "storm sewer", so
- 16 on. Again, sentiment is dropping off rather
- 17 quickly.
- 18 So if we just take the top two or three
- 19 of each of these categories, we're getting a
- 20 sense of what our priorities might be if we used

- 21 this as our only decision tool. You know,
- 22 "rental housing" and a couple of things in
- 23 "economic development", a couple things here in
- 24 "infrastructure".
- 25 "Human and public services", you know,

- 1 "high needs". "Mental health and chemical
- 2 dependency services", a little bit more high.
- 3 "Senior services", drops off quite a
- 4 bit. "Transportation services", "healthcare",
- 5 and so on.
- 6 Now, on both -- is there a question?
- 7 On both surveys, we actually asked
- 8 folks to identify whether they have an
- 9 entitlement through a remainder estate. So,
- 10 right now, these are all state results.
- Yes, ma'am?
- MS. COYLE: My name is Erica Coyle, for
- 13 the record. I'm with Haven.
- 14 And one thing I don't see on there is
- 15 anything with domestic violence services. So,
- 16 65% of homeless women have experienced domestic
- 17 violence, so is that something that we're
- 18 looking at?

19	MR. GAUDIN: That's I think it might
20	be in the very next slide.
21	MS. COYLE: Sorry, I was ahead of that.
22	MR. GAUDIN: That's all right.
23	It might be two slides away, so
24	It is two slides away. But we do
25	address that.
	BIG SKY REPORTING - FRANCES L. MOCK 06)248-4064 Fax:(406)256-5525 E-Mail:fran848@bresnan.net 2308 Interlachen Circle Billings, Montana 59105
1	The following "human and public
2	services" issues, I think that's in the "special
3	populations" slide.
4	We do see continuing decline in kind of
5	the sentiment. I want to point out here Fair
6	Housing activities, which is a requirement with
7	newly Fair Housing, "Study the Analysis of Fair
8	Housing".
9	Here the sentiment is not real strong
10	for that, but we will find in doing that study,
11	those are one of the things yes, ma'am?
12	MS. PATERA: Shyla Patera, for the
13	record. That's spelled S-H-Y-L-A; P-A-T-E-R-A.
14	And I'm with North Central Independent Living.

I actually answered the survey when I

first got it.

15

17	I think one of the things that if the
18	survey can be improved, because a lot of it kind
19	of looks like if you're in a town with a lot of
20	the services or amenities, some of them don't
21	seem like they drop off, you know. They seem
22	like they might be in the high 50s or
23	something.
24	Because I use public transportation a
25	lot. That's my life line, besides housing. And
(4	BIG SKY REPORTING - FRANCES L. MOCK 06)248-4064 Fax:(406)256-5525 E-Mail:fran848@bresnan.net 2308 Interlachen Circle Billings, Montana 59105
1	it's just seems if people don't use those
2	services, they might not be aware that there are
3	needs.
4	And I am not shocked that there was
5	such a drop-off, because we are talking about
6	housing and economic development.
7	But if you don't have those services
8	for some of us, myself included, I couldn't have

a job without transportation, and I definitely

wouldn't have a house if I didn't have a job.

So, I hope that this isn't -- this is

just a snapshot, I hope, and I hope it isn't the

only driver of community services for folks,

because there's huge needs.

9

10

11

12

13

15	MR. GAUDIN: Thank you very much.
16	Yes?
17	MR. O'NEIL: I would just follow up
18	Shyla's comments as well.
19	Michael O'Neil.
20	This type of survey is a nice addition
21	to the Consolidated Plan process, and I think
22	it's important to take it with a grain of salt.
23	But that gets at somewhat the
24	population being surveyed in terms of, you know,
25	say, services for people with disabilities or
(4	BIG SKY REPORTING - FRANCES L. MOCK 06)248-4064 Fax:(406)256-5525 E-Mail:fran848@bresnan.net 2308 Interlachen Circle Billings, Montana 59105
1	housing for people with disabilities or ADA type
2	of things may not be as high a priority for
3	those who don't have see that as an immediate
4	need in their lives, and so that would get at
5	your population sample.
6	The same issues would occur at other
7	minority, quasi-minority issues that would get
8	to be an issue of your population sample in
9	terms of I think you're saying not to take
10	this as the overall, arching decision-maker, but
11	I think that's an important point and take it

12

with a grain of sand as to what your actual

- 13 needs are.
- Fair Housing, people who are
- 15 discriminated against may feel that's a very
- 16 high need. The housing industry certainly takes
- 17 it as a priority. But, you know, a landlord
- 18 population views those issues quite differently
- 19 than those who may be subject to the
- 20 termination.
- 21 MR. GAUDIN: Thank you.
- Yes, ma'am?
- MS. SCHOLL: Thank you.
- 24 Elizabeth Scholl, Equilibrium.
- Our firm provides a range of market and

- 1 opinion research.
- 2 I guess we all agree that data drives
- 3 strategy. And does this survey format model
- 4 actually reflect the opinions of the
- 5 community-at-large, or is this scientifically
- 6 defendable, but currently only drives those who
- 7 respond and not those at large?
- 8 So to the extent that we appreciate,
- 9 and I appreciate this data, we do have to take
- 10 it within the context that these are respondents

- 11 in certain populations of our community that
- 12 have opinions about how this goes, and not
- 13 necessarily the response of the
- 14 community-at-large from a science perspective.
- 15 And, so I guess I would challenge us to
- 16 think about -- and I don't know enough about the
- 17 world in which we are driven by this
- 18 Consolidated Plan, but I think I would challenge
- 19 us to move beyond that and do some real opinion
- 20 research that has really good returns and
- 21 respondents. That really drives a quantitative
- 22 and takes that qualitative approach into a
- 23 quantitative defendable response around the
- 24 priorities and the interest of Montanans.
- Thank you.

- 1 MR. PERSICO: Ed Persico,
- 2 P-E-R-S-I-C-O.
- 3 One thing I was going to ask, have you
- 4 submitted this survey to public libraries?
- 5 In my town, a lot of people cannot
- 6 afford Internet service, so they don't have an
- 7 opportunity at all to even know that this
- 8 exists.

- 9 So I would encourage you to actually
- 10 reach deeper into the community and understand
- 11 that not every one has Internet access that's
- 12 available at their fingertips.
- 13 MR. GAUDIN: Thank you.
- Michael, I agree with your point. This
- 15 is not intended to be, you know, the tyranny of
- 16 the majority makes the decision, but it's also
- 17 to teach all of us, you know, it's distributed
- 18 to stakeholders.
- 19 It's a subjective sample, but it helps
- 20 us to understand the perspectives that our
- 21 stakeholders have, those people who are most
- 22 active in the housing and community development
- 23 industry.
- To the extent we can use it as an
- 25 educational tool to help our stakeholders better

- 1 understand kind of the implications and certain
- 2 decisions, that's fundamentally what we want to
- 3 do.
- 4 The very first time we did this, I
- 5 think it was in like 1993, something like that,
- 6 we drew a sample from the Montana Department of

- 7 Motor Vehicles and sent a mail survey out. I
- 8 would love to do that every time, but it is a
- 9 little more costly than this approach.
- 10 So the trade-off, of course, is that,
- 11 you know, how much do you want to spend for this
- 12 piece of the review of the data, the qualitative
- 13 data -- that's what this is -- versus
- 14 quantitative?
- 15 And so part of the process is the
- 16 public info process, and that's why you're
- 17 here. And to the extent we can advertise in
- 18 public libraries and get people to come to
- 19 public meetings held at public libraries, you
- 20 know, I've seen it all. You know, these are all
- 21 very difficult challenges, each one of those.
- So, we try to use a variety of methods,
- 23 you know, whether it's this kind of a
- 24 stakeholder survey, or whether it's, you know,
- 25 public involvement meetings or, you know,

- 1 outreach and public announcements and various
- 2 things. Hopefully we can get a broad enough
- 3 perspective through all of these.
- 4 So, that's our intent. And do it as

- 5 cost effectively as we can because you're taking
- 6 money away from what you intend to do to give it
- 7 to me.
- 8 So, come on, we want to minimize that,
- 9 don't we, I mean, ultimately?
- Anyway, so that's my defense policy
- 11 there.
- 12 Special needs here, for "housing with
- 13 services". "Persons with mental illness" kind
- of at the top. "Veterans", "frail", "elderly",
- 15 "homeless". "Victims of domestic violence"
- 16 down a little bit. But what we're seeing here
- 17 is more and more people skipping these
- 18 questions.
- Earlier, we were in the 60s. Now we
- are up to like 80 deciding to skip that answer.
- 21 Remember, the very first one, we only had two.
- 22 I think it was probably my responses there, and
- 23 there were no other data in it.
- 24 But we do see some -- less people
- voting "high need" on some of those lower ones,

- 1 "folks recently released from prison" and so
- 2 on.

- 3 The special needs populations for just
- 4 housing, "permitted supported housing". Again,
- 5 the "high need". The sentiment is lower.
- 6 "Rapid rehousing", "rental assistance", the
- 7 sentiment is lower.
- 8 "Emergency shelters", you know, again
- 9 the sentiment is falling just a little bit for
- 10 each of those things.
- "Community and public facility"
- 12 issues. Again, what we have is to begin just
- 13 the top of the list. You know, we're starting
- 14 off kind of lukewarm sentiment. "Child care
- 15 facilities" at 45. "Health care use", dribbling
- 16 down into the 30s.
- 17 So, really what we see are a couple of
- 18 two or three general categories coming out kind
- 19 of on top, which is some of the housing,
- 20 particularly rental housing, and some of the
- 21 issues related to economic development.
- One of the concluding questions related
- 23 to how we wish to use resources relates to a
- 24 question of just unconstrained. We asked
- 25 people, "How do you think we use our money?"

- What we see here is the response to that. 1 2 Now, at the bottom of the slide, we also see the link, the letters and the actual 3 4 link for the survey, but we do see housing coming out on top, you know, a large portion of 5 our resources within the Consolidated Plan. 6 7 You know, Development, Community Block 8 Grant largely is going to be able to address 9 some of the other categories, "economic development", "infrastructure", and so on. 10 11 But this is just how people are 12 talking. They don't know exactly how we can use 13 and what the size of our budget is. They're 14 probably not thinking those things when they 15 take the survey, but we do have some idea about what people are talking about. 16 17 Even "human services", 15.6%, is 18 actually above CDBG's limit, so it's -- you 19 know, we can't really do that. And it's at 20 certainly 15% of the whole budget that we have. 21 But it gives us an idea of how people 22 feel in this, and that's how we take that when 23 we look at kind of how we can use our resources.
- BIG SKY REPORTING FRANCES L. MOCK (406)248-4064 Fax:(406)256-5525 E-Mail:fran848@bresnan.net

Just this concluding slide here. Just

to kind of appeal one last time to each of you

24

- 1 about how you feel, you know, what do you think
- 2 -- you can speak about it just for Miles City;
- 3 you can talk about any region of the state you
- 4 wish. You know, what do you think? You know,
- 5 what should we do first? What do you think we
- 6 are lacking with this? You know, how might we
- 7 go about capturing something else for this
- 8 plan?
- 9 This is really your opportunity -- I'm
- 10 running over already. So your opportunity has
- 11 only got a couple of minutes left, so...
- Yes, ma'am?
- MS. RICE: Sheela Rice with Neighbor
- 14 Works.
- 15 I just want to put in my concern about
- 16 manufactured housing, and it's single-family,
- 17 it's rental, it's ownership, it's all of that.
- 18 We just want to be sure that the plan in no way
- 19 discriminates against people who live in
- 20 manufactured housing.
- 21 It's the largest affordable housing
- 22 that's unsubsidized in Montana, as well as the
- 23 nation.
- So, nothing specific, except when we do
- 25 the entire overlay, we should say, "Have we

56

1	harmed or helped manufacturing housing as an
2	affordable source?"
3	MR. GAUDIN: Thank you.
4	MS. COPE: Nancy Hart, I believe on the
5	call has Nancy, go ahead.
6	AUDIENCE: Tell Nancy to go ahead.
7	MALE AUDIENCE: When you tell Nancy to
8	go ahead, she can't hear you on the phone.
9	MR. GAUDIN: Nancy
10	MS. COPE: Hit *2, and then ask your
11	question.
12	MR. GAUDIN: Yes, hit *2 and then ask
13	your question.
14	Perhaps you can type your question, and
15	then we'll read it.
16	Go ahead.
17	We still can't hear you. Let me
18	rephrase that, I can't hear you.
19	MS. COPE: Ask her to hit *2 again.
20	MR. GAUDIN: Try *2 again. If that
21	doesn't work, would you be able to type the

22 question in, and then Penny Cope can read it to

23 us.

MS. COPE: Go ahead, Shyla, and I'll be

25 ready in a minute.

BIG SKY REPORTING - FRANCES L. MOCK (406)248-4064 Fax:(406)256-5525 E-Mail:fran848@bresnan.net 2308 Interlachen Circle Billings, Montana 59105

- 1 MS. PATERA: Okay.
- 2 My question is, following the
- 3 presentation, will we have a period of time for
- 4 other comments or different things unrelated to
- 5 the presentation?
- 6 Even though everything is related, but
- 7 there's just some housing and community
- 8 development needs that I kind of have concerns
- 9 on.
- 10 MR. GAUDIN: I will avail myself to
- 11 anyone who has further questions after this
- 12 meeting.
- 13 MR. BRUMFIELD: Shyla, are you wanting
- 14 to make a follow-up comment for the record?
- MS. PATERA: Yeah.
- MR. BRUMFIELD: Go ahead. Knock
- 17 yourself out.
- MS. PATERA: So, spelling my name again
- 19 is S-H-Y-L-A; P-A-T-E-R-A.
- And for the record, I, in independent
- 21 living, definitely want to see in the housing

- 22 arena visibility and universal design
- 23 incorporated in as much of the AQAPS as possible
- 24 for community development.
- We need accessible pathways and

- 1 accessible community businesses that are going
- 2 to hire people with disabilities, so that was my
- 3 main comment.
- 4 But particularly in the housing arena,
- 5 we see a lot -- I see a lot of rental assistance
- 6 needs. So I was probably part of that top
- 7 three, because that's the community I work with
- 8 a lot, is people needing rentals.
- 9 I'm excited when people become home
- 10 buyers because that means they have a stable --
- 11 they found a stable source, but sometimes
- 12 accessible housing stock isn't always
- 13 available. It's hard to find.
- I can speak from my personal
- 15 experience. Even though Neighbor Work helped
- 16 me, Accessible Space, Incorporated out of
- 17 Minnesota, which was where I was living as an
- 18 apartment dweller, said, okay, you don't make
- 19 enough -- you make beyond HUD guidelines to live

- 20 here, because we incorporate this building as a
- 21 202 and a senior and disabled building, so with
- 22 your raise, you don't qualify to live here
- anymore.
- And it was great to be saving for a
- 25 home and be part of that process, but I just

- 1 wanted the options, accessibility options in
- 2 shelter, emergency shelters, homeless shelters,
- 3 anything. Manufactured housing, having stock,
- 4 anything. And community pathways are really
- 5 big, because that's connects to transportation.
- 6 So, thank you very much.
- 7 MR. GAUDIN: Joe?
- 8 MR. BURST: Joe Burst, living in the
- 9 Family for Today and Tomorrow. I just want to
- 10 piggyback on Shyla's comment about disability.
- For those that aren't familiar with the
- 12 term, "visitability" standards, or minimum
- 13 standards we would like to encourage developers,
- 14 anybody building for housing, for ownership and
- 15 rental, and that's basically looking at three
- 16 standards that we encourage every house to
- 17 have at least one zero-step entrance; that the

- 18 doorways are at least 36 inches, and that
- 19 there's an accessible bathroom, basically
- 20 meaning that a wheelchair can get into those
- 21 homes.
- Not only does it help the person with
- 23 the disability in their home, it allows them to
- 24 go to other homes friends, family -- to be
- able to visit those homes.

- 1 We feel it's even more important as we
- 2 really look at people that are aging and want to
- 3 age in place. This allows a person that gets
- 4 elderly. And with growing older, disabilities
- 5 really come into play.
- 6 And so these visitability standards
- 7 actually allow a person to grow older in their
- 8 home and not so much have to worry about, now
- 9 I've got steps to deal with, and now I've got to
- 10 go into assisted living, or some other type of
- 11 option sell their house.
- So we really encourage that. Missoula
- 13 has just passed, not an ordinance, but an
- 14 acceptance of that policy.
- What we would like to see is working

- 16 possibly with some tax credits, different
- 17 incentives that would allow and encourage
- 18 builders to look at those visitability
- 19 standards.
- MR. GAUDIN: Thank you.
- MS. McMILAN: Heather McMilan,
- 22 M-C-M-I-L-A-N. Homeward.
- I just wanted to testify on the
- 24 importance to talk at this conference a lot
- 25 about preservation, a need in Montana of

- 1 affordable housing, and that's with preserving
- 2 rental systems, but also deed-restricted
- 3 properties that we look to lose over the next
- 4 however many years.
- 5 That needs to be a priority, an equal
- 6 priority as new construction as well in the
- 7 areas of need around the state where we are
- 8 looking to touch, whether it's a preservation
- 9 rehab or new construction, that we focus on
- 10 sustainability, energy efficiency, accessibility
- and visitability, as the last two speakers spoke
- 12 to.
- Thank you.

14	NANCY HART THROUGH MS. COPE: Now, I'm
15	going to read a comment that is typed into our
16	Webinar by Nancy Hart in Missoula.
17	She says:
18	"First, I assume this CP
19	does not cover entitlement
20	communities specifically,
21	but obviously provide a lot
22	of the data as well as
23	drive the needs and
24	activities in many areas.
25	What is the plan for
BIG SKY REPORTING - FRANCES L. MOCK (406)248-4064 Fax:(406)256-5525 E-Mail:fran848@bresnan.net 2308 Interlachen Circle Billings, Montana 59105	
(4	2308 Interlachen Circle Billings, Montana 59105
1	2308 Interlachen Circle Billings, Montana 59105
	2308 Interlachen Circle Billings, Montana 59105 62
1	2308 Interlachen Circle Billings, Montana 59105 62 incorporating or not
1 2	2308 Interlachen Circle Billings, Montana 59105 62 incorporating or not entitlement communities,
1 2 3	2308 Interlachen Circle Billings, Montana 59105 62 incorporating or not entitlement communities, their data and their needs
1 2 3 4	2308 Interlachen Circle Billings, Montana 59105 62 incorporating or not entitlement communities, their data and their needs into the process?"
1 2 3 4 5	2308 Interlachen Circle Billings, Montana 59105 62 incorporating or not entitlement communities, their data and their needs into the process?" MR. GAUDIN: You know, I'd like to
1 2 3 4 5 6	2308 Interlachen Circle Billings, Montana 59105 62 incorporating or not entitlement communities, their data and their needs into the process?" MR. GAUDIN: You know, I'd like to defer to Bruce on that one.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	2308 Interlachen Circle Billings, Montana 59105 62 incorporating or not entitlement communities, their data and their needs into the process?" MR. GAUDIN: You know, I'd like to defer to Bruce on that one. MS. COPE: I believe Nancy will have a
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	2308 Interlachen Circle Billings, Montana 59105 62 incorporating or not entitlement communities, their data and their needs into the process?" MR. GAUDIN: You know, I'd like to defer to Bruce on that one. MS. COPE: I believe Nancy will have a second

- MS. COPE: And I believe Nancy is
- 13 typing in a second comment. When it comes up,
- 14 I'll raise my hand over here.
- MS. OLSON: So, I got the hot potato.
- The answer to the question is no. It
- 17 does not include entitlement communities, so the
- 18 responsibility of the HUD-funded programs that
- 19 are administered by the state are to look for
- 20 the service population that we provide funding
- 21 for.
- So, it is not inclusive of those
- 23 specific areas, but it is inclusive of the
- 24 counties that those entitlement jurisdictions
- are residing in.

- 1 So, we will be including data from
- 2 Cascade, Yellowstone and Missoula Counties, but
- 3 not the specific entitlement communities.
- 4 And definitely, we can continue the
- 5 conversation as Nancy types it.
- 6 MR. GAUDIN: Yes.
- 7 MR. O'NEIL: Michael O'Neil with
- 8 Aware. I would like to just comment and follow
- 9 up to some of the other comments on

10	accessibility.
11	We do greatly appreciate the efforts of
12	the Consolidated Plan funded programs and low
13	income housing tax credit programs for adopting
14	enhanced accessibility features.
15	And now is the time really to focus out
16	on the broader market, and we think the
17	Consolidated Plan in the state of Montana can
18	take some positive roles in making that happen.
19	One thing we want to stress is that for
20	persons with disabilities, we want to make sure
21	that housing choices of all types are
22	recognized.
23	And from housing, what we call
24	"Homestead housing", which is allowing just

64

opportunities to live in the community as it's a

- 1 right to person under the Supreme Court
- 2 decision, the Homestead decision, to live in a
- 3 community; for rentals that are affordable, of
- 4 appropriate quality and accessible, but also
- 5 home ownership, and that the program support
- 6 home ownership opportunities.
- 7 Because in the end, those programs

- 8 provide an enhanced quality of life, but also
- 9 ends up being at lower cost to both systems and
- 10 to individuals, and so we encourage that.
- One thing that we need to stress, the
- 12 definition of a distinction between "problem"
- 13 and "crisis" is when you are experiencing that
- 14 problem, it becomes a crisis.
- 15 And we certainly, and rightfully so,
- 16 heard about the crisis of affordability in the
- 17 eastern parts of the state.
- And in general, you recognize in your
- 19 data that affordable housing crisis has 40% or
- 20 more of Montana households are facing housing
- 21 cost burdens.
- But for persons with permanent
- 23 disabilities, living on SSI income of roughly
- \$711 a month, that crisis has been with them
- 25 since really -- in Montana it's been a growing

- 1 and increasing difficult situation since the
- 2 early Nineties.
- 3 And today, without rental systems,
- 4 being able to afford housing in any of our
- 5 communities is nearly impossible. It ends up

- 6 being a tremendous burden.
- With the reduction on the federal
- 8 level, partially this document is hopefully read
- 9 by someone in Washington making decisions in
- 10 prioritizing.
- We have experienced in Montana nearly a
- 12 10% reduction in the Housing Choice Voucher on
- 13 the streets in Montana, and some of that is
- 14 improving in recent weeks and months as the
- 15 programs become unfrozen.
- But a 10% reduction means 600 families
- 17 who need assistance with their housing, 600
- 18 fewer families are being served through that
- 19 resource. When you consider that's the very
- 20 lowest income folks in the state of Montana,
- 21 that's a big impact.
- I would encourage another data set that
- 23 might drive your attention to the impact on
- 24 families. I think the homeless data has a
- 25 little bit of impact on families during the time

- 1 you're studying. The federal policy changed the
- 2 type of resources to families, cut off
- 3 reductions and some penalties where families may

- 4 not have any resources.
- 5 The Office of Public Instruction keeps
- 6 -- what would be the word? Not vacancy numbers,
- 7 but they do keep for each school, in the
- 8 catchment area in every school district, the
- 9 number of students that turn over in a given
- 10 school each year. I think that's an excellent
- 11 indicator of the impact of poverty.
- 12 I've spent a lot of time focusing on
- 13 public schools within the last decade, and it's
- 14 a direct correlation in high poverty. School
- 15 catchment areas, you'll see upwards 30 or 40% of
- 16 the students turning over in any given year.
- 17 And I think you could probably
- 18 correlate on a county-wide basis some of that
- 19 information from the Office of Public
- 20 Instruction as an indicator of impact on
- 21 families.
- MR. GAUDIN: Thank you.
- I think this young lady here had
- 24 something.
- MS. COYLE: Hi, Erica Coyle with Haven

67

1 again.

2	I just wanted to go on record saying
3	that the domestic violence piece of this needs
4	to be part of the agenda.
5	We did have one little line there, and
6	that was great, but it was addressed by when we
7	look at the statistics from the Center for
8	Disease Control, the World Health Organization,
9	approximately 217,000 Montanans will suffer from
10	domestic violence. 20% of those will need
11	shelter at some point.
12	So, we just need to bring this to the
13	conversation, I think, and I just wanted to go
14	on record saying that.
15	MR. GAUDIN: If you have some
16	statistics, if you could forward those to
17	Jennifer, I'd be happy to make use of those.
18	MS. COYLE: Great. Thank you.
19	MR. GAUDIN: You're welcome.
20	NANCY HART THROUGH MS. COPE: I have a
21	second comment from Nancy Hart in Missoula.
22	She says:
23	"The state has not
24	included public/human
25	services funding up to the

1	15% max in CDBG. I would
2	be interested in knowing
3	how or whether funding
4	those activities might
5	eventually be considered?"
6	MR. GAUDIN: I don't have an answer for
7	that.
8	MS. OLSON: Jennifer Olson again for
9	the record.
10	So, let me respond in this manner.
11	And I'm so happy that all of you are
12	here and, Nancy, thank you for trying to get in,
13	and everybody else that's on the Webinar,
14	because the purpose of this Consolidated Plan
15	period is to receive feedback so that we can
16	look at that from everybody's perspective.
17	As Rob indicated, we will have more of
18	these types of hearings and meetings to elicit
19	conversation and comments from all facets of
20	involvement that our HUD-funded programs provide
21	funding to support.
22	So, I would respond by saying, thank
23	you for your comment, because I think that helps
24	us plan in regards to other thoughts and
25	considerations of how we use CDBG funds within

BIG SKY REPORTING - FRANCES L. MOCK

(406)248-4064 Fax:(406)256-5525 E-Mail:fran848@bresnan.net 2308 Interlachen Circle Billings, Montana 59105

1	the guidance of HUD's national objectives.
2	MR. GAUDIN: Yes, sir.
3	MR. MORTON: Jim Morton, for the
4	record.
5	I just wanted to add to the
6	"preservation" comment and express that it's a
7	very complex suggestion when we make comments
8	about preservation, because I realize that we're
9	talking about housing tax credits; we are
10	talking about the HOME program, CDBG,
11	maintaining what we have, at the same time
12	, adding new units.
13	But I think somewhere in the plan, we
14	need to state that state government and its
15	various departments will conduct though that
16	leadership will involve stakeholders, residents
17	and communities, I think its important to
18	understand it's complex.
19	And then moving on to home ownership, I
20	want to encourage the continuation of the old
21	programs qualified entity approach, because if
22	we go back to having communities compete and not
23	have it set aside, that takes a lot of resource
24	away from our communities.

70

1	that program now, and we think it's essential.
2	And the other component there would be
3	that as we talk about projects and community
4	preservation or new projects, I would ask that
5	the HOME and CDBG start to think about the caps
6	that you have now, start to looking at
7	underwriting criteria, because it may take more
8	than \$750,000 of HOME money to preserve or to
9	engage communities for production units.
10	We're mailing so many of the funders in
11	a project now, that it gets pretty complex. And
12	often we're going out and finding 50,000 or
13	\$20,000 to complete a project because these
14	and I understand why. I mean we want to have
15	those, but at least having some flexibility in
16	terms of how we approach that.
17	And then lastly, the Community
18	Development Block Grant has initiated a program
19	whereby governments can ask for an
20	owner-occupied rehab loan program. We are just
21	starting that in one of our counties.

I know it's getting off the ground a

- 23 little slow -- you put together the regulations
- 24 in the fall -- but don't give up. We're out
- 25 there.

- 1 Many of us are working on those plans,
- 2 and you're going to see them coming in, because
- 3 we see a lot of need there. So we really
- 4 appreciate that change on the CDBG's part.
- 5 Thank you.
- 6 MS. McMILAN: Heather McMilan.
- 7 I want to echo Jim's comments regarding
- 8 the encouragement of staff in the Department of
- 9 Commerce to work closely with the other
- 10 departments at the state level.
- We have been doing a lot of that over
- 12 the last few months, and I'd like to continue
- 13 that focus, because what we are doing is
- 14 complex, and the things we're attempting on
- 15 preservation as well as new construction are
- 16 complicated. And we appreciate the
- 17 discussions. Underwriting is really important
- 18 to wrap your head around.
- 19 Also, if you could be focused on
- 20 including USDA. We started doing that at this

- 21 conference, which is great. 22 There is a big, big issue when it comes 23 on the compliance side, so not only on the 24 project funding upfront, but on compliance and 25 understanding each other is super helpful. BIG SKY REPORTING - FRANCES L. MOCK (406)248-4064 Fax:(406)256-5525 E-Mail:fran848@bresnan.net 2308 Interlachen Circle Billings, Montana 59105 72 1 There was a mention that this plan is 2 not covering entitlement cities, and I 3 understand the nuts and bolts of that from a PJ perspective. 4 But because these funds are available 5 6 -- are currently available in second rounds to 7 the entitlement city, I think it's important to kind of be open and share those discussions with Billings, Missoula and Great Falls so that you 9 guys are working together with the other 10 11 entitlement cities, because I think we can do a 12 lot more in Montana together if you guys are working in tandem. 13 14 MR. GAUDIN: I want to thank all of you 15 very much for coming out this morning. 16 I think the other session was supposed
- But I really appreciate taking time to

to have begun 10 minutes ago.

19	offer commentary and perspective about this		
20	issue these issues.		
21	And also, you know, I've given speeches		
22	at lunch where people went to sleep. No one		
23	went to sleep today, so thank you.		
24	Maybe I went to sleep because it was		
25	early. Thank you very much for coming. It was		
BIG SKY REPORTING - FRANCES L. MOCK (406)248-4064 Fax:(406)256-5525 E-Mail:fran848@bresnan.net 2308 Interlachen Circle Billings, Montana 59105			
1	a pleasure to be here with you.		
2	(Applause.)		
3	MR. BRUMFIELD: So, we're going to take		
4	a quick five minutes just to turn around, I		
5	believe. And then we'll start in on our kind of		
6	nuts to bolts session.		
7	So folks, just stick around, and we'll		
8	get it all set up.		
9	(Whereupon, the morning session was		
10	concluded at 9:35 a.m.)		
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			

17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
(4	BIG SKY REPORTING - FRANCES L. MOCK 06)248-4064 Fax:(406)256-5525 E-Mail:fran848@bresnan.net 2308 Interlachen Circle Billings, Montana 59105
1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
3	TITLE: HUD Consolidation Plan
4	HEARING DATE: May 22, 2014
7	
5	
5	LOCATION: Miles City,
6	LOCATION: Miles City, I hereby certify that the proceedings
6 7	LOCATION: Miles City, I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence herein are contained fully and
6 7 8	LOCATION: Miles City, I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence herein are contained fully and accurately on audio notes reported at the
6 7 8 9	LOCATION: Miles City, I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence herein are contained fully and accurately on audio notes reported at the hearing in the above case before the
6 7 8 9	LOCATION: Miles City, I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence herein are contained fully and accurately on audio notes reported at the hearing in the above case before the Montana Department of Commerce, and that this
6 7 8 9	LOCATION: Miles City, I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence herein are contained fully and accurately on audio notes reported at the hearing in the above case before the

DATE: June 5, 2014

13

15	Erranges I. Mook
16	Frances L. Mock Big Sky Reporting 2308 Interlachen Circle
17	Billings, Montana 59105
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	